FOOD COMBINING MADE EASY

By HERBERT M. SHELTON

Author of

HUMAN LIFE: ITS PHILOSOPHY AND LAWS
HYGIENIC CARE OF CHILDREN
THE HYGIENIC SYSTEM (7 Vols.)
BASIC PRINCIPLES OF NATURAL HYGIENE
ETC., ETC.



PUBLISHED BY

DR. SHELTON'S HEALTH SCHOOL SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 1951

SECOND PRINTING, 1889 — THERD PRINTING, 1864 — FOURTH PRINTING, 1885

FIFTH PRINTING, 1886 — SEXTH PRINTING, 1867 — SEVENTH PRINTING, 1896

ELEMENTH PRINTING, 1880 — NINTH PRINTING, 1885 — TENTH PRINTING, 1892

ELEMENTH PRINTING, 1885 — TWELFTH PRINTING, 1885 — THERTEENTH PRINTING, 1886

FOURTEENTH PRINTING, 1886 — FIFTEENTH PRINTING, 1885 — NINETEENTH PRINTING, 1887

SEVENTEENTH PRINTING, 1887 — ELICHTEENTH PRINTING, 1885 — NINETEENTH PRINTING, 1888

TWENTIETH PRINTING, 1889 — TWENTY-FIRST PRINTING, 1970

TWENTIETH PRINTING, 1879 — TWENTY-FIRST PRINTING, 1879
TWENTY-SECOND PRINTING, 1871 — TWENTY-THRID PRINTING, 1871
TWENTY-FOURTH PRINTING, 1873 — TWENTY-SEVENTH PRINTING, 1875
TWENTY-SIGHTH PRINTING, 1878 — TWENTY-SEVENTH PRINTING, 1875
THIRTIETH PRINTING, 1878 — TWENTY-NINTH PRINTING, 1875
THIRTIETH PRINTING, 1878 — THIRTY-FIRST PRINTING, 1875

COPYRIGHT 1976

DEDICATION

60 the millions of truthseekers everywhere, who are desirous of knowing more about healthful living, in the hope that it will serve them in preserving and restoring their health and the health of the members of their families, this book is affectionately dedicated by

- THE AUTHOR



Yours for Wealth Truth and Medical Liberty

Table of Contents

	ACE
INTRODUCTION	5
CHAPTER I, Foodstuffs Classified	10
CHAPTER II, Digestion of Foods	13
CHAPTER III, Right and Wrong Combinations	19
CHAPTER IV, Normal Digestion	31
CHAPTER V, How to Take Your Proteins	36
CHAPTER VI, How to Take Your Starch	43
CHAPTER VII, Eating Fruits	49
CHAPTER VIII, Eating Schedule for a Week	54
CHAPTER IX, Remedying Indigestion	58
CHAPTER X, The Hygienic Institution	67

Introduction

I have been requested hundreds of times to provide my readers with a small book on food combining. The demand has grown with the years as more and more people have come to realize the importance of properly combined meals. In offering this little book to the public I hope to meet the requirements of the layman whose knowledge of the technical side of the matter is limited. I have written the book in plain language and have provided sufficient technical data to make the subject clear to the average reader.

As the book has been prepared for the general reader and not for the vegetarian only, the means contained berein include meals for the mixed-diet easer as well as meals for the vegetarian. This has not been done as a matter of compromise, nor yet as a tacit desertion of vegetarianism, but as a means of meeting the requirements of all classes of readers.

From medical sources, as well as from the camp-followers of medicine in the other schools of so-called healing, and the dietetic camp-followers of allopathy, certain objections are made to the practice of avoiding certain food combinations and eating others. These objections are all based on the assumption that the human stomach is equipped to easily and efficiently digest any and all possible combinations of foods that may be introduced into it. Very little special attention will be devoted to meeting these objections, as the facts presented in this little book constitute sufficient reply to the objections. Should the reader desire further refutation of these objections, they may be had in my larger work, Orthotrophy, which is Vol. II of The Hugiente System.

More than thirty-one years spent is feeding and caring for the young and the old, the well and the sick, male and female, sich and poor, educated and ignorant, nearly twenty-five years of this spent in institutional practice, the balance in office practice, certainly entitle me to speak with some degree of authority on this subject. I have spent more than forty years in the study of dietetics, and I have directed the care and feeding of many thousands of people. I submit to the intelligent reader the thought that such an experience better

qualifies me to speak upon the subject that forms the text of this little book than an equal time devoted to drugging the sick. Few medical men make a study of dietetics and still fewer of them make any extensive use of it in their care of their patients. Their usual advice to their patients is to "eat whatever agrees with you."

Dr. Shelton's Health School has been in existence here in San Autonio since July 10, 1928. During this time potients have come to it from all over the United States and Canada and from many parts of the world. Mexico, Argentina, Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Brazil, Venezuela, Cuba, Hawniia, China, New Zeoland, Australia, England, Ireland, South Africa, Alaska, and other parts of the world have contributed patients to the Health School. The marvelous results we have obtained and are obtaining in our case of all classes of sickness, even in thousands of cases that had been declared to be "incurable," attest the value of the methods and measures employed in the Health School.

It is not asserted in this book that any program of diet, nor any program of food combining, will cure disease. I do not believe in cures. I assert and am ready to prove, that in all cases of sickness, where organic damage is not too great for vital redemption, when cause is removed the forces and processes of life, working with the normal materials of life, will restore health and integrity. Food is but one of the normal materials of life.

As an indispensable basis of the work of the Hygienist, we must endeavor to secure to the potient the full benefit of all the hygienic means, in their entire pienitude, for only thus can the potient be given a fair chance of recovery. The intelligent reader should have no difficulty in understanding that Hygienic care is the only intional and radical care that has ever been administered to the sick in my age of the world at any place. The time must come when all forms of disease will be "treated" on the broad and infullible basis of Hygienic principles. When true principles are discovered, they are found to apply, not to one or two diseases only, nor to but one class of diseases, but to all diseases whatsoever. The same fundamental principles will apply throughout the whole estalogue of diseases. Even in those cases where surgery can be of value, Hygienic core should always be employed as the groundwork for the surgery.



BEAUTIFUL AND HEALTHFUL SAN ANTONIO

The Health School is ideally located in the sumy southwest where summers are mild, the days fanned with the southerly winds of the gulf, the nights cool, winters are short and mild, with sunbathing all winter, where the soil is the finest and there is a year-round profusion of the finest fraits and vegetables in the world. These natural advantages, added to our vast experience in handling all forms of disease, enable as to offer the health seeker care and direction that are not available alsowhere.

At the Health School we employ all of the materials and influences that have a normal relation to life—air, water, food, sunshine, rest, sleep, exercise, cleanliness, emotional adjustment, etc. Physiological rest—fasting—also occupies a prominent place in our system of care. But first and foremost in our care of the sick is the removal of the causes that are responsible for the disease. Trying to cure disease without removing its cause is like trying to sober up a drunk man while he continues to drink. We would not attempt a thing so foolish.

Our patients are fed correctly combined meals. These things are set down here that the reader may know that the rules for food combining given in succeeding pages are not merely theoretical considerations, but that they have been fully tried and tested in the crucible of wide experience.

Why give attention to the combinations of foods eaten? Why not combine our foods indiscriminately and eat haphazardly? Why give thought and attention to such matters? Do animals follow rules of food combining?

The answers to these questions are simple. Let us start with the last question. Animals eat very simply and do very little combining. Certainly the meat eating animal consumes no carbohydrates with his proteins. He does not take acids with his proteins. The deer grazing in the forcest combines his foods very little. The squirrel, eating nuts, is likely to eat his fill of nuts and take no other food with these. Birds have been observed to eat insects at one time of day, seeds at another. No animal in a state of nature has the great variety of different foods spread before it at a meal that civilized man has. Primitive man had no such great variety of foods at a meal. He, too, ate simply, as do the animals.

As will be seen later, the digestive enzymes of the human digestive tract have certain well defined limitations and when we est in such a manner as to over ride these limitations, we run into digestive troubles. Proper food combining is merely a same way of respecting our enzymic limitations. We combine our foods properly and do not eat haphazardly and indiscriminately, because, by so doing, we assure better and more efficient digestion of our foods.

We derive no value from foods that are not digested. To eat and have the food spoil in the digestive tract is to waste the food. It is worse than this, as the spoiling of foods results in the production of poisons which are injurious. Proper food combining, therefore, not only assures better nutrition, as a consequence of better digestion of our foods, but it provides for a protection against poisoning.

An amazing number of food ellergies clear up completely when supposedly allergic individuals learn to eat their foods in digestible combinations. What they suffer from is not allergy, as this is at present understood, but indigestion. Allergy is a term applied to protein poisoning. Indigestion results in putrefactive poisoning, which is also a form of protein poisoning. Normal digestion delivers nutrients, not poisons to the bloodstream. Fully digested proteins are not poisonous substances.

With knowledge based on wide experience, then, I offer this little book to the intelligent reader, in the hope that he will make full use of its information to the end that he may enjoy better health and a longer and more abundant life. To the doubter I say only: Give it a trial and convince yourself. It has truly been said that condemnation without investigation is a bar to all knowledge. Do not cut yourself off from further knowledge and from better health by condemning, without a fair test, the simple rules that are presented in this little book.

Foodstuffs Classified

CHAPTER I

Food is that material which can be incorporated into and become a part of the cells and fluids of the body. Non-useful materials, such as drugs, are all poisonous. To be a true food the substance eaten must not contain useless or harmful ingredients. For example, to-bacco, which is a plant, contains proteins, carbohydrates, minerals, vitamins and water. As such, it should be a food. But, in addition to these materials, it also contains considerable quantities of poisons, one of these, one of the most virulent poisons known to science. To-bacco, therefore, is not a food.

Foodstuffs as we get them from the garden and orchard or from the food store, or in the raw state, are composed of water and a few organic compounds known as proteins, carbohydrates (sugars, starches, pentosans), for (oils), mineral salts and vitamins. They commonly possess more or less of non-usable or indigestible matter waste.

Foods as we get them from the garden and orchard or purchase them from the food store are the new materials of nutrition. They vary widely in character and quality, hence, for convenience, are classified according to their composition and sources of origin. The following classifications of foods will guide the reader in his combinations:

PROTEINS

Protein foods are those that contain a high percentage of protein in their makeup. Chief among these are the following:

Nuts (most)	All flesh foods
All cerenis	(except fat)
Dry beans	Cheese
Dry peas	Olives
Soy beans	Avocados
Feanuts	Milk (low protein

FOGUSTUFF CLASSIFIED

The carbohydrates are the starches and sugars. I have broken these up into three distinct groups in the following classification starches, sugars and syrups, and sweet fruits.

	STARCHES	SYRUPS AND SUGARS
All ceresis		Brown augar
Dry beans (except		White sugar
Soy Beans)		Milk migar
Dry pear		Maple syrup
Potutoes (all kinds)		Cane Syrup
Chertnuts		Honey
Peanuts		*
Hubbard Squash		SWEET FROM
Banana Squash		Banana
Pumpkin		Date
Caladium root		F(g
Jerusalem		Balslo
Artichokes		Tompson & Museat
MILDLY STABERY		Prune
Cauliflower		
Beets		Sun-dried Pear
Carrots		Persimmon
Bistohaga		
Salsify	FATS	

The fats are all fats and oils, as follows

Olive off Soy Off Sunflower Seed Off Sesame Off Corn Off	Butter Cream Nut oils Butter substitutes Presns	Most nuts Fut meats Lard Cotton seed oil Tallow
Sesame Oil	Butter substitutes	Cotton seed off

ACID FRUITS

Most of the acids eaten as foods are acid fruits. Chief among these are

Orange	Tomato	Sour Grape
Grapefruit	Lemon	Sour Grape Sour Peach
Pineapple	Lime	Sour Plum
Pomegranate	Sour Apple	

SUB-ACID FRUITS

The sub-sold fruits are as fellow:

Fresh fig	Sweet Peach	Huckleberry
Pear	Sweet apple	Mango
Sweet Charry	Apricot	Mangosteen
Papaya	Sweet Plum	Cherimoya

NON-STARCHY AND GREEN VEGETABLES

Into this classification full all succulent vegetables without regard for their color, whether green, red, yellow, or white, etc. Chief among these are:

Lettuce	Cow-slip	Paraley
Celery	Chinese cabbage	Rhubarh
Endive (French)	Chive	Water cress
Chienry	Chleory	Onions
Cabbage	Mustard	Scallions
Cauliflower	Dock (sour)	Leeks
Broceok	Turnip	Garlie
Brussel Sprouts	Kale "	Zuccini
Collards	Mullieln	Escarole
Spinneh	Rape	Cardoon
Dandelion	Green corn	Bamboo Sprouts
Beet tops (greens)	Egg-plant	Broccoli-de-Rappe
Turnip tops	Green benna	Summer squash
(greens)	Cucuraber	Asparagus
Chard	Kohl-rabi	Hadish
Okra	Sprrel	Sweet pepper

MELONS

The melons are as follow:

Water melon	Caraba	Crembaw melon
Music melon	Cantaloupe	Christmas melon
Honey dew	Pie melon	Persian Melon
Honey Balls	Banana melon	Nutmeg Melon

Foodstuffs, as we get them constitute the raw materials of nutrition. As proteins, carbohydrates and fats, they are not usable by the body. They must first undergo a disintegrating, refining and standardizing process (more properly a series of processes) to which the term digestion has been given. Although this process of digestion is partly mechanical, as in the chewing, swallowing and "churning" of food, the physiology of digestion is very largely a study of the chemical changes foods undergo in their passage through the alimentary canal. For our present purposes, we need give but little attention to intestinal digestion, but will concentrate upon mouth and stomach digestion.

The changes through which foods go in the processes of digestion are effected by a group of agencies known as enzymes or unorganized ferments. Due to the fact that the conditions under which these enzymes can act are sharply defined, it becomes necessary to give heed to the simple rules of correct food combining that have been carefully worked out on a basis of the chemistry of digestion. Long and patient effort on the part of many physiologists in many parts of the world have brought to light a host of facts concerning enzymic limitations, but, unfortunately, these same physiologists have attempted to slur over their importance and to supply us with fictional reasons why we should continue to eat and drink in the conventionally haphazzard manner. They have rejected every effort to make a practical application of the great fund of vital knowledge their painstaking labors have provided. Not so the Natural Hygienists, We seek to base our rules of life upon the principles of biology and physiology.

Let us briefly consider enzymes in general before we go on to a study of the enzymes of the mouth and stomach. An enzyme may be appropriately defined as a physiological catalyst. In the study of chemistry it was soon found that many substances that do not normally combine when brought into contact with each other, may be made to do so by a third substance when it is brought into contact with them. This third substance does not in any way enter into the combination, or share in the reaction, its mero presence seems to bring about the combination and reaction. Such a substance or agent is called a catalyst, the process is called catalyst.

Plants and animals manufacture soluble catalytic substances, colloidal in nature and but little resistant to heat, which they employ in the many processes of splitting up of compounds and the making of new ones within themselves. To these substances the term enzyme has been applied. Many enzymes are known, all of them, apparently, of protein character. The only ones that need interest us here are those involved in the digestion of foodstuffs. These are involved in the reduction of complex food substances to simpler compounds that are acceptible to the bloodstream and usable by the cells of the body in the production of new cell-substance.

As the action of enzymes in the digestion of foodstuffs closely resembles fermentation, these substances were formerly referred to as ferments. Fermentation, however, is accomplished by organized ferments—bacteria. The products of fermentation are not identical with the products of enzymic disintegration of foodstuffs and are not suitable as nutritive materials. Rather, they are poisonous. Putrefaction, also the result of bacterial action, also gives rise to poisons, some of them very virulent, rather than to nutritive materials.

Each enzyme is specific in its action. This is to say, it acts only upon one class of food substance. The enzymes that act upon carbohydrates do not and cannot act upon proteins nor upon salts nor lats. They are even more specific than this would indicate. For example, in the digesion of closely related substances, such as the disaccharides (complex sugars), the enzyme that acts upon maltose is not capable of acting upon lactose. Each sugar seems to require its own specific enzyme. The physiologist, Howell, tells us that there is no clear proof that any single enzyme can produce more than one kind of ferment action.

This specific action of enzymes is of importance, as there are various stages in the digestion of foodstuffs, each stage requiring the action of a different enzyme, and the various enzymes being capable of performing their work only if the preceding work has been properly performed by the enzymes that also precede. If pepsin, for example,

has not converted proteins into peptones, the enzymes that convert peptones into amino acids will not be able to act upon the proteins.

The substance upon which an enzyme acts is called a substrate. Thus starch is the substrate of ptyalin. Dr. N. Phillip Norman, Instructor in gastro-enterology, New York Polyclinic Medical School and Hospital, New York City, says: "In studying the action of different enzymes, one is struck by Emil Fischer's statement that there must be a special key to each lock. The ferment being the lock and its substrate the key, and if the key does not fit exactly in the lock, no reaction is possible. In view of this fact is it not logical to believe the admixture of different types of carbohydrates and fats and proteins in the same meal to be distinctly injurious to the digestive cells? If, since it is true that similar, but not identical locks are produced by the same type of cells, it is logical to believe that this admixture taxes the physiological functions of these cells to their limit." Fischer, who was a renowned physiologist, suggested that the specificity of the various enzymes is related to the structure of substances acted upon. Each enzyme is apparently adapted to or fitted to a curtain definite structure.

Digestion commences in the mouth. All foods are broken up into smaller particles by the process of chewing, and they are thoroughly saturated with saliva. Of the chemical part of digestion, only starch digestion begins in the mouth. The saliva of the mouth, which is normally an alkaline fluid, contains an enzyme called piyalin, which acts upon starch, breaking this down into maltose, a complex sugar, which is further acted upon in the intentine by maltose and converted into the simple sugar dextrose. The action of piyalin upon starch is preparatory, as multase cannot act upon starch. Amylase, the starch-splitting enzyme of the pancreatic secretion, is said to act upon starch much as does piyalin, so that starch that escapes digestion in the mouth and stomach may be split into maltose and achrondextrine, providing, of course, that it has not undergone fermentation before it reaches the intestine.

Ptyalin is destroyed by a mild acid and also by a strong alkaline reaction. It can act only in an alkaline medium and this must not be strongly alkaline. It is this limitation of the enzyme that renders important the manner in which we mix our starches, for if they are mixed with foods that are acid or that provide for an

acid secretion in the stomach, the action of the ptyalin is brought to an end. We will learn more of this later.

Stomach, or gastric juice ranges all the way from nearly neutral in reaction to strongly said, depending upon the character of the food eaten. It contains three enzymes-pepsin, which acts upon proteins; lipase, which has slight action upon fats; and rennen, which coogulates milk. The only one of these enzymes that needs concern us here is pensin. Pensin is capable of initiating digestion in all kinds of proteins. This is important, as it seems to be the only enzyme with such power. Different protein splitting enzymes act upon the different stages of protein digestion. It is possible that none of them can act upon protein in stages preceding the stage for which they are specifically adapted. For example, erepsin, found in the intestinal juice and in the pancreatic juice, does not act upon complex proteins, but only upon peptids and polypeptids, reducing these to amino-acids. Without the prior action of pepsin in reducing the proteins to peptids, the erepsin would not act upon the protein food. Pepsin acts only in an acid medium and is destroyed by an alkali. Low temperature, as when seed drinks are taken, retards and even suspends the action of pepsin. Alcohol precipitates this enzyme.

Just as the sight, odor or thought of food may occasion a flow of saliva, a "watering of the mouth," so these same factors may cause a flow of gastric juice, that is a "watering of the stomach." The taste of feed, however, is most important in occasioning a flow of saliva. The physiologist, Carlson, failed in repeated efforts to occasion a flow of gastric juice by having his subjects chew on different substances, or by irritating the nerve-endings in the mouth by substances other than those directly related to food. In other words, there is no secretory action when the substances taken into the mouth rannot be digested. These is selective action on the part of the body and, as will be seen later, there are different kinds of action for different kinds of foods.

In his experiments in studying the "conditioned reflex," Pavlov noted that it is not necessary to take the food into the mouth in order to occasion a flow of gastric juice. The mere teasing of a dog with savory food will serve. He found that even the noises or some other action associated with feeding time, will occasion a flow of secretion.

It is necessary that we devote a few paragraphs to a brief study of the body's ability to adapt its secretions to the different kinds of feedstuffs that are consumed. Later, we will discuss the limitations of this power. McLeod's Physiology in Modern Medicine says: "The observations of Pavlov on the responses of gastric pouches of dogs to meat, bread, and milk have been widely quoted. They are interesting because they constitute evidenc that the operation of the gastric secretory mechanism is not without some power of adaptation to the materials to be digested."

This adaptation is made possible by reason of the fact that the gastric secretions are the products of about five million microscopic glands embedded in the walls of the stomach, various of which secrete different parts of the gastric juice. The varying amounts and proportions of the various elements that enter into the composition of the gastric juice give a juice of varying characters and adapted to the digestion of different kinds of foodstuffs. Thus the juice may be almost neutral in reaction, it may be weakly acid or strongly acid. There may be more or less pepsin according to need. There is also the factor of timing. The character of the juice may be very different at one stage of digestion from what it is at another, as the varying requirements of a food are met.

A similar adaptation of saliva to different foods and digertive requirements is seen to occur. For example weak acids occasion a copious flow of saliva, while weak alkalies occasion no salivary secretion. Disagreeable and noxious substances also occasion salivary secretion, in this instance, to flush away the offending material. It is noted by physiologists that with at least two different types of glands in the mouth able to function, a considerable range of variation is possible with reference to the character of the mixed secretion finally discharged.

An excellent example of this ability of the body to modify and adapt its secretions to the varying needs of various kinds of foods is supplied us by the dog. Feed him flesh and there is a secretion of thick viscous saliva, chiefly from the submaxilary gloud. Feed him dried and pulverized flesh and a very copious and watery secretion will be poured out upon it, coming from the parotid gland. The murous secretion poured out upon flesh serves to lubricate the bolus of food and thus facilitate swallowing. The thin, watery

secretion, on the other hand, poured out upon the dry powder, washes the powder from the mouth. Thus, it is seen that the kind of juice poured out is determined by the purpose it must serve.

As was previously noted, phyalin has no action upon sugar. When sugar is eaten there is a copious flow of saliva, but it contains no phyalin. If soaked starches are enten, no saliva is poured out upon these. Phyalin is not poured out upon flesh or fat. These evidences of adaptation are but a few of the many that could be given. It seems probable that a wider range of adaptation is possible in gastric than in salivary secretion. These things are not without their significance to the person who is desirous of eating in a manner to assure most efficient digestion, although it is the custom of physiologists to gloss over or minimize them. We shall have occasion to refer to these matters in greater detail in subsequent chapters.

There are reasons for believing that man, like the lower animals, once instinctively avoided wrong combinations of foods, and there are remnants of the old instinctive practices still extant. But having kindled the torches of intellect upon the ruins of instinct, man in compelled to seek out his way in a bewildering maze of forces and circumstances by the fool's method of trial and error. At least this is so until he has gained sufficient knowledge and a grosp of proved principles to enable him to govern his conduct in the light of principles and knowledge. Instead, then, of ignoring the great mass of laboriously accumulated physiological knowledge relating to the digestion of our foodstuffs, or glossing over them as is the practice of the professional physiologists, it behooves us, as intelligent beings, to make full and proper use of such knowledge. If the physiology of digestion can lead us to enting practices that insuze better digestion, hence better nutrition, only the foolish will disregard its immense value to us, both in health and in disease.

Right and Wrong Combinations

To make fully clear what combinations of foodstuffs override our enzymic limitations it will be necessary to consider, one by one, the possible combinations and briefly discuss these in their relations to the facts of digestion which we learned in the previous chapter. Such a study should prove both interesting and instructive to the intelligent reader.

ACID-STARCH COMBINATIONS

In the last chapter we learned that a weak acid will destroy the ptyalin of the saliva. With the destruction of the ptyalin starch digestion must come to a halt. The physiologist Stiles says: "If the mixed food is quite acid at the outset, it is hard to see how there can be any hydrolysis (enzymic digestion of starch) brought about by the saliva. Yet we constantly eat acid fruits before our breakfast cereal and notice no ill effects. Starch which ascapes digestion at this stage is destined to be acted upon by the pancreatic juice, and the final result may be entirely satisfactory. Still it is reasonable to assume that the greater the work done by the taliva, the lighter will be the task remaining for the other secretions and the greater the probability of its complete accomplishment."

Howell says it appears that "this lipuse is readily destroyed by an acidity of 0.2 per cent HCl, so that if it is of functional importance in gastric digestion its action, like ptyalin, must be confined to the early period of digestion before the contents of the stomach have reached their normal acidity." (Italies mine.)

Oxaire acid diluted to 1 part in 10,000 completely arrests the action of ptyalin. There is sufficient acetic acid in one or two teaspoonfuls of vinegar to entirely suspend salivary digestion. The acids of tomatoes, borries, organges, grapefruits, lemons, litnes, pineapples, sour apples, sour grapes, and other sour fruits are sufficient to destroy the ptyalin of the saliva and suspend starch digestion. Without, apparently, understanding why, Dr. Percy Howe of Har-

vard, says. "Many people who cannot eat exagges at a meal derive go at two off to meeting them lifteen to their montes before the med."

All physiologists agree that acids, even mild acids, destroy pts or lifless and officer he shows that sales as apable of digesting starch without the presence of physion, we shall have to continue to insist that acid-starch combinations are indigestible. The blatant assertion by men who never made a terious study of the subject of human nutrition, that any combination of foodstuffs that you like or desire is all right is based on ignorance or prejudice or is just an expression of higotry

Our rule, then, should be. Eas acids and starches at separate

PROTEIN-STARCH COMBINATIONS

Chittedness showed that free hydrochloric acid to the extent of only 0.003 per cent is sufficient to suspend the starch-splitting (amylolytic) action of ptyshe, and a slight further increase in acidity not only stops the action, but also destroys the enzyme. In his Textbook of Physiology Howell says of gestric lipage that, "this lipase is readily destroyed by an acidity of 0.2 per cent HCl, so that if it is of functional importance in gastric digestion lis action, like that of ptyshin, must be confired to the early period of digestion before the contents of the starch before actions of the lipase by the hydrochloric of the stanch but with the destruction of the lipase by the hydrochloric of the stanch but with the destruction of ptysher by the same acid.

The physiologist Stiles says "the acid which is highly favorable gastin gistion to excipe a part packs two to sale vary digestion." He says of peptin, "the power to digest proteins is manifested only with an acid reaction, and is permanently lost who the permater is manifested only with an acid reaction, and is permanently lost who the permater is manifested only with an acid reaction, and is permanently lost who have the permater as many large are therefore, precisely those was accounted to take place are, therefore, precisely those was accounted to acid. Inasmuch as the gastric juice is decidedly acid it used to be claimed that salivary digestion could not proceed in the stomach." Castric juice destroys physika and thereby stops starch digestion. This being true, how are we ever to digest our starch foods?

The answer to this juestion is found in the power of the digestive system to adapt its secretions to the digestive requirements of particular foods, providing, of course that we respect the limitations of this adaptive mechanism. Dr. Richard C. Cabot of Harvard, who was neither advocating nor combatting any special method of food combining, wrote. When we est carbohydrates the stomach secretes an appropriate pince, a gastric juice of different composition from that which it secretes if it finds proteins critical down. This is a response to the particular demand that is made on the stomach. It is one of the numerous examples of choice or intelligent guidance carried on by parts of the hody which are ordinarily thought of as inconscious and having no soul or choice of their own." Here in the secret. The stomach secretes a different kind of juice when we eat a starch food from what it secretes when we cat a protein food.

Pavlov has shown that each kind of food calls forth a particular activity of the digestive glands, that the power of the julies varies with the quality of the fixed, that special modifications of the activity of the glands are required by different foods, that the storgest juice is poured out when most needed.

When bread is eaten little hydrochloric acid is poured into the stomach. The juice accreted upon bread is almost neutral in reaction. When the starch of the bread is digested, much hydrochloric acid is then poured into the stomach to digest the protein of the bread. The two processes—the digestion of sturch and the digestion of protein de not go on sound a cousts with great efficiency. On the contrary, the secretions are nicely and minutely adjusted, both as to character and to timing, to the varying needs of the complex food substance.

Herein lies the answer to those who object to food combining because "nature combines various food substances in the same food." There is a great difference between the digestion of a food, however complex its composition, and the digestion of a mixture of different foods. To a single article of food that is a starch protrue composition, the body can easily adjust its juices, both as to strength and timing, to the digestive responsements of the food. But when two foods are easen with different ever opposite digestive needs, this precise adjustment of prices to requirements becomes impossible. If bread and flesh are eaten together, instead of an almost neutral gastric juice

being poured into the stomach during the first two hours of digestion, a lighty and met wal be proved out impediately and starch digestion will come to an almost absupt end.

It should never be lost sight of that physiologically, the first steps in the digestion of storches and proteins take place in opposite media—storch requiring an alkaline medium, protein requiring an acid medium in which to digest. On this point, V. H. Mottuam, professor of physiology in the University of London, says in his Property, has when the is a set as a possible the says in the gastrie piece it valvary ages in a possible. He says in a gastrie joice digests protein nod saliva digests starch. Therefore it is obvious that for efficient digestion the meat (protein) part of a meal should come first and the starch part second—just indeed as by instinct is usually the case. Meat precedes pudding at being the most comomical process to

Mottram explains this matter by saying. "The distal end of the storach is that in which the churning movement that mixes the food with the gastric juice takes place. . But the food in the quiescent end is still under the influence of the saliva, while the food in the mottle end comes in contact with the acid gastric juice and no salivary action is possible." This simply means that if you eat your probability and your stard last that the probability and light in the lower end of the stomach while the starch will digest in is upper end.

If we assume that there is any line of demarkation between the food in the stomach, as his proposition demands, it is still true that people in general, neither instinctively nor otherwise, consume their proteins and starches in this manner. Perhaps in England it is customary to set meet at the beginning of a meal and pudding at the end, just as we have a similar practice of taking a dessert at the end of a meal in this country, but it is likely to be the practice there as here, to ent starch and protein together. When the average man or woman eats flesh, or eggs, or cheese, he or she takes bread with the protein. Hot-dogs, ham sandwiches, hamburgers, toast and eggs, "ham on tye" and similar combinations of protein and starch represent the common practice of eating such foods. With such contracts the protein and starch are the protein are the protein are the protein and starch are the protein are the protein are the protein together.

Howell makes a somewhat similar statement. He says: "A question of practical importance is as to how far salivary digestion

affects the starchy foods under usual entermistances. The chewing process in the mouth thoroughly mixes the food and saliva, or should do so, but the bolus is swallowed much too quickly to enable the enterme to complete its action. In the stomach the gastric fuice is sufficiently acid to destroy the ptyshin, and it was therefore supposed termerly that salivary digest on is promptly arrested on the entrance of food into the stomach, and is normally of but little value as a digestive process. Later knowledge regarding the conditions of the stomach shows on the cortrary that some of the food in an ordinary meal may remain in the fundic end of the stomach for an hour or more untouched by the acid secretion. There is every reason to behave, therefore, that salivary digestion may be carried on in the stomach to an important extent."

It is obvious that salivary digestion may be carried on in the stomach to an important extent only in a small part of the food esten, providing the eating is the usual haphazard robtures of bread with mest, bread with eggs, bread with cheese, bread with other protein, or potatoes with proteins. When one sats a hamburger or a hot dog, one does not eat his flesh first and then follow with his bun. They are eaten together and thoroughly chawed and mixed together and swallowed together. The stomach has no mechanism for separating these thoroughly intermixed a between and partit or ing them off in separate compartments in its cavity

Mixing foods in this manner is not seen in nature—animals tending to est but one food at a meal. The carnivors certainly does not mix starches with his proteins. Birds tend to consume insects at one period of the day and seeds at another time. This is certainly the best plan for man to follow, for, at best, the plan suggested by Mottram cannot give ideal results.

On the basis of the physiological facts which have been here presented, we offer our second rule for food combining. It is this. En' protein foods and carbohydrate foods at separate meals

By this is meant that cereals, bread, potatoes and other starch foods should be eaten separately from flesh leggs, cheese leak and other protein foods.

PROTEIN PROTEIN COMBINATIONS

Two proteins of different character and different composition, and associated with other and different food factors call for different

modifications of the digestive secretions and different timing of the secretions in order to digest them efficiently. For example, the strongest juice is poured out upon milk in the last hour of digestion, upon Besh in the first hour. Is there no significance in the finning of the secretions thus seen? In our eating practices we habitually ignore such facts and our physiologists have not attached any importance to such matters. Eggs receive the strongest secretion at a different time to that received by either flesh or milk. It is logical therefore. to assume that eggs should not be taken with flesh or milk. It is

not too late to recall the harm that was done to tubercular patients by feeding them the abanquable combination of eggs and milk. It may be noted in passing that for centuries orthodox Jews have refrained from taking desh and milk at the same meel.

The fact is that the digestive process is modified to meet the digestive requirements of each protein food and it is impossible for this to be modified in such a manner as to meet the requirements of two different proteins at the same meal. This may not mean that two different kinds of flesh may not be taken together or that two different kinds of nuts may not be taken at the same time, but it certainly means that such protein combinations as flesh and eggs. flesh and nuts, flesh and cheese eggs and mak eggs and nuts, cheese and nuts, milk and nuts, etc., should not be taken. One protein Lood at a meal will certainly assure greater efficiency in digestion

Our rule, then, should be: Eat but one concentrated protein food at a meal.

An objection has been offered to this rule that is as follows: the various proteins vary so greatly at their amon and content and the body requires adequate quantities of certain of these so that, it is necessary to consume more than one protein in order to assure an adequate supply of amino-acids. But masmuch as most people eat more than one meal a day and there is protein in almost everything we eat, this objection is invalid. One does not have to consume all of his protein at any one meal.

ACID-PROTEIN COMBINATIONS

The active work of splitting up (digesting) complex protein substances into a report a listances, which lakes place in the stomach and which forms the first step in the digestion of proteins, is accomplished by the enzyme, pepsin. Pepsin acts only in an acid medium; its action is stopped by alkali. The gastrie juice ranges all the way from nearly neatral to strongly acid depending upon what kind of food is put into the stomach. When proteins are eaten the gastric jusce is seid, for it must furnish a favorable medium for the action of pepsin

Because pepsin is active only in an acid medium, the mistake has been made of assuming that the taking of acids with the meal will assist in the digestion of protein. Actually on the confrary, these acids whilit the outpouring of gastric juice and thus opterfere with the digestion of proteins. Drug acids and frost acids demore ize gastric digestion, either by destroying the pepmin or by inbilating its secretion. Gastrie judge is not poured within the presence of acid in the mouth and stomach. The renowi ed Russian physiologist, Pay by positively demonstrated the demona tring influence of acids upon digestum, both fruit acids and the acid end results of fermentatum. Acid fronts by jobi along the flow of gastric page an arbitingpered flow of which is imperatively demanded by protein digertionseriously bindicaps protein digestion and results an pat efaction

The normal stomach secretes all the acid required by popsin in digesting a reasonable quantity of p. dem. An abnormal stimach may see He too much acid. hypera idity or an asofficient amount hypoanday. In either case, taking acids with proteins does not and digestion. While peprin is not active except in the presence of hydrochlene acid. I can find no evidence that other acids activity this enzyme, excessive gustric acidity prevents its action. Excess acid destroys the pepuin

Based on these simple facts of the physiology of digestion, our rule should be. Eat proteins and acids at separate meals

When we consider the actual process of protein digestion in the stomach and the positive inhibiting effects of acids upon gastric secretion, we realize at once the fadacy of consuming phreappe page or grapefruit juice or tomato juice with meat, as advocated by certain so-called dietitians, and the foliacy of beating up eggs in orange pace to make the so-called "pep-cocktail," advocated by other pseudo-

Lemon jurce, vinegar or other acid used on salads, or added to saled dressing, and eaten with a protein meal, serve as a severe check to hydrochloric secretion and thus interfere with protein digestion.

Although note or cheese with acid fruits do not constitute ideal combinations, we may make exceptions to the foregoing rule in the case of these we articles of food. Note and cheese containing, as they do considerable oil and fat (cream), are about the only exceptions to the rule that when acids are taken with protein, putrefaction occurs. These foods do not decompose as quickly as other protein foods when they are not unmediately angested. Is otherwors acids do not delay the digestion of puts and cheese, because these foods contain enough fat to infinit gustric secretion for a longer time than do acids.

FAT-PROTEIN COMBINATIONS

Meleod's Physiology in Modern Medicine says: "Fat has been shown to exert a distinct inhibiting influence on the secretion of gastric juice . . . the presence of oil in the stomach delays the secretion if pure poured of the animportant physiological truth, the full significance of which has seldom been realized. Most men and women who write on food combining ignore the depressing effect fat has upon gastric secretion.

The presence of fat in the food lessens the amount of eppetite secretion that is poured into the stomach, lessons the amount of "chemical secretion" poured out, lessens the activity of the gastric glands, lowers the amount of pepsin and hydrochloric acid in the gastric place and may lower gastric tone by as much as fifty per cent. The ministring effect may last two or more hours.

This means that when protein food is eaten, fat should not be taken at the same meal. In other words, such foods as mean, butter, oils of various kinds, gravies, fat meats, etc., should not be consumed at the same meal with nuts, cheese, eggs, Besh. It will be noted, in this connection, that those foods that normally contain fat within themselves, as nuts or cheese or milk, require longer time to digest than those protein foods that are lacking to fat.

Our fourth rule, then, is: Eat fats and proteins at separate meals.

It is well to know that an abundance of green vegetables, especially uncooked ones, counteract the inhibiting effect of fat, so that if one must have fat with one's protein, one may offset its in hibiting effect upon the digestion of protein by consuming much green substance with the meal.

SUGAR PROTEIN COMBINATION

All sugars—commercial sugars, syrups, sweet fruits, honey, etc.—have an inhibiting effect upon the secretion of gustric juice and upon the motility of the stomach. This fact adds agnificance to the remark made to children by mothers that the eating of cookies before meals "spoils the appetite." Sugars taken with proteins hinder protein digestion

Sugars undergo no digestion in the mouth and stomach. They are digested in the intentine. If taken alone they are not held in the stomach long, but are quickly sent into the intestine. When caten with other foods, either proteins or starches, they are held in the stomach for a prolonger, per id. availing the digestion of protein or starch digestion they undergo fermentation

Based on these simple facts of digestion, our stile is. Eat suggest and proteins at superate meals.

SUGAR STARCH COMBINATIONS

Starch digestion normally begins in the mouth and continues under proper conditions, for some time in the stomach. Sugars do not undergo any digestion in either the mouth or stomach, but in the small intestine only. When consumed alone sugars are quickly sent out of the stomach into the intestine. When consumed with other foods, they are held up in the stomach for some time awaiting the digestion of the other foods. As they tend to ferment very quickly under the conditions of warmth and moisture existing in the stomach, this type of nating almost guarantees acid fermentation.

Jellies, Jams, fruit butters, commercial augar (white or brown, beet, came or lactic), honey, molasses, syrups, etc., added to cakes. The experiment of the

mentation. Breads containing dates, raisins, figs, etc., so popular among the frequenters of the "health food" stores are dietetre abominations. In many quarters it is thought that if honey is used instead of sugar this may be avoided, but such is not the case. Honey with hot cakes, syrup with hot cakes, etc. are almost sure to forment.

There is every reason to believe that the presence of the night with the starch definitely interferes with the digestion of starch. When sugar is taken into the month there is a copious outpouring of saliva, but it contains no pivalin for pivalin does not act upon sugar. If the starch is disguised with sugar, honey, syrop, jellies, jams, etc., this will prevent the adaptation of the saliva to starch digestion Little or no pivalin will be secreted and starch digestion will not take place.

Major Reginald F. E. Austin, M.D., R.A.M.C., M.R.C.S., L.R.C.P., says: "foods that are wholesome by themselves or in certain combinations often diagree when caren with others. For example, bread and butter taken together cause no unpleasantness, but I sugar or am or matron ade is a sed to it e may folk w. Be cause the sugar will be taken up first, and the conversion of the storch into sugar is then delayed. Mixtures of starch and sugar invite fermentation and its attendent evils."

Upon these facts we base the rule: Eat starches and sugars at separate meals

EATING MELONS

Large numbers of people complain that melons do not agree with them. So no of these people designs to appear more up to it to in their knowledge, explain that they are allergic to melons. I have fed melons in quantity to hundreds of such people and found that they have no trouble with them and that their supposed allergy was but a figurent of the imagination. Melons are such wholesome foods and are so easy of digestion that even the most feeble digestions can handle them very nicely

But trouble, frequently severe suffering, does often follow the enting of melons. Why? These foods undergo no digestion in the stomach. The little digestion they require takes place in the intestine

If taken properly, they are retained in the stomach but a few in oldes and are their passed into the intestine. But it taken with other finds that require a lengthy stay in the stomach for salivary or gastroidigestion, they are held up in the stomach. As they decompose very quickly when cut open and kept to a warm place, they are prone to give the Uninch gas and discomfort when each with most other funds.

I take a patient who says that everytime he eats watermelou be has severe poin in his andonen, that he fills up with gas and that he suffers in other ways. He declares that molons have always "disagreed" with him, that he could never eat them. I feed this patient an abundance of melon and he has no gas, no pain, no discomfort. How do I achieve this? I feed the melon alone. He is given all the melon he desires at a meal-makes his meal on melon. He immediately discovers that melons do "agree" with him, that he is not allergic to melons.

From these facts we derive the rule. Eat melons alone

This means that watermelons, honey down muskinglons, cantalloupes, casabas, perisan melons, banana melons, Crenshaw melons, pie melons, Christmas melons, and other melons should be eater alone. They should not be eaten between meals, but at meal time. It is well to make the meal on melon

I have tried feeding melons with fresh fruits and there seems to be no reason why they may not be fed together, if this is desired

TAKE MILK ALONF

It is the role in nature that the young of each species taxes its milk alone. Indeed, in the early life of young mammals, they take no other food but nolk. Then there comes a time when they eat milk and other foods but they take them separately. Finally there comes a time when they are weated, after which, they never take milk again. Milk is the food of the young. There is no negative it after the end of the normal sucking period. The dairy industry and the medical profession have taught us that we need a quart of milk a day so long as we live we are never to be weated but are to remain suckings all our lives. This is a commercial program and expresses to be a need.

PRODUCOMBINING MADE EAST

Due to its protein and fat (cream) content, milk combines poorts at all foods. It ask only a forth well with soul fronts. The first thing that occurs when it enters the stomach is that it congulates for user ds. These and tent it to the cross of the particles of open one in the stomach thus insulating them against the gastric force. It is prevent that the content of gastric force.

Our rule with milk is Take milk alone or let it alone

he feed a rock to any abilities front meal may be feed and then, bulk an hour afterward, milk may be given. The milk should not be given with the fruits, except in the case of acid fruits. The orthodox Jew follows a very excellent plan of eating when be refuses to consume milk with flesh. But its use with cereals or other sturch is equally as objectionable.

DESSERTS

Dr. Tilden used in advise that if you and have a provider of the pie and a large raw vegetable a so it is rise at that mix the next meal. Dr. Haves W. W. is a contract that the food value of pie is unquestioned, it also remains to be digested. Certainly, eaten with a regular meal, as is the eastern it is not well digested. The same may be said for the other descerts. Cold descerts, like the cream interpose another burrier to the digestive process—that of crid.

Normal Digestion

CHAPTER IV

In his Textlanck of Physiology Howell says that "In the large in testine protein patrefaction is a constant and normal occurrence." He records that "Recognizing that fermentation by means of bacteria is a normal occurrence in the gastro-intestinal count, the question has arisen whether this process is in any way necessary to normal digestion and nutrition." After considerable discussion of this question and reference to experiments that have been made he reaches no definite conclusion, but thinks "it seems wise to take the conservative view that while the presence of the bacteria confers no positive benefit the organism has adapted itself under usual conditions to neutralize their logurious action.

He points out that the putrefactive bacteria break down the proteins into amino-acids, but that they do no stop here. They destroy the amino-acids and give us, as final products of their activates, such poisons as inded, skatel, phenol, phenylpropionic and phenylpro

It does not seem logical to assume that such a process of toxin formation is either normal or necessary in the process of digestion it seems to me that Howell and the other physiologists have merely mistaken a common or almost universal occurrence, at least it is almost universal in civilized life, as a normal occurrence. They have not stopped to ask why fermentation and putrefaction occur in the digestive tract. What causes it to occur? That it is a source of poisoning they admit. Howell goes so far as to say "It is well known that excessive bacterial action may lead to intestinal troubles, such as diambea, or possibly to more serious interference with general

HORMAL DICESTION

nutrition owing to the formation of toxic products, such as the aminos." He fails to define what he means by "excessive bacterial action."

I have repeatedly pointed out the folly of accepting more convenions as norm! The more that that protein patteraction is well migh universal to the colons of civilized man is, by itself, not sufficient to establish the phenomenon as a normal one. It is first necessary to ask and answer the question. Why is protein putrofaction so common? It may also be well to ask if it serves any useful purpose

Are the putrefaction and fermer tation that are so common due to overeating, to the nating of illegitimate proteins, to eating wrong combinations, to eating up der physical and emotion I consultions. Eatingue work, worry fear anxiety pain, fever inflamination etc.) that retard or suspend digestion? Is if the result of impaired digestion from any cause? Must we arways take it for ground that the present eating practices of civilized man are minuted? Why must we accept as normal what we find in a race of sick and weakened beings?

Foul stools, loose stools, impacted stools, pobbly stools, much foul gas, collits, hemorrhoids, bleeding with stools, the need for toilet paper, and all the other things of this not are that accompany present-day living, are swept into the orbit of the normal by the assertion that putrefaction is a normal occurrence in the human colon. We have it asserted in different words that "whatever is, is right."

That there are animals that do not present protein putrefaction in their intestinal tracts, that there are men and women whose eating and living habits give odorless stools and no gas, that a change of habits produces a change of results these facts are of no importance to physiologism who are devoted to the stufffying axiom that only conventions are to be received as data. Howell accepts as normal the generally prevailing septic condition of the human colon and completely ignores the causes that produce and maintain this condition of sepsis.

The blood stream should receive from the digestive tract water, amino-acida, fatty acids, glycerol, monosaccharides, minerals and vitamins. It should not receive alcohol, acetic acid, ptomaines, leucomaines, hydrogen sulphide, etc. Nutritive materials, not poisous, should be received from the digestive tract

When starches and complex sugars are digested they are broken down into simple sugars called monosaccharides, which are usuals substances—nutriments. When starches and sugars undergo fermentation they are broken down into carbon dioxide, acetic acid, alcohol and water which substances, with the exception of water, are non-usuals substances—potsons. When proteins are digested, they are broken down into anino-acids, which are usualle substances—nutrients. When proteins putrely, they are broken down into a variety of ptomaines and le icomaines, which are non-usuale substances—poisons. So with all other food factors—enzyme digestion of foods unlits them for use by the body, bacterial decomposition of foods unlits them for use by the body. The first process gives us pusions as the end-result.

What avails it to consume the theoretically required number of calones daily only to have the food ferment and putrely in the digestive tract? Food that thus spoils does not yield up its calories to the body. What is gained by eating abundantly of adequate proteins only to have these putrefy in the gastro-intestinal canal? Proteins thus rendered unfit for entrance into the body do not yield up their amino-acids. What benefit does one receive from eating viatmin-rich foods only to have these decompose in the stomach and intestines? Foods thus rotted do not supply the body with vitamins. What nutritive good comes from enting nancral laden foods only to have these rot in the sivine canal? Foods that are thus rendered anht for use provide the body with no imperals. Carbohydrates that ferment in the digestive tract are converted into alcohol and seetic acid, not into monosaccharides. Fats that become rancid in he stomach and intestine provide the body with no fitty acids and glycerol. To derive sustenance from the foods eaten, they must be digested, they must not rot

Discussing phenol, indol and skatol, Howell points out that phenol (carbolic acid), after it is absorbed, is combined in part, with sulphure and forming an othereal sulphate or phenolal phonic acid, and is excreted in the urine in this form. "So also with cresol," he adds. Indol and skatol, after being absorbed, are oxidized into indoxyl and skatoxyl, after which they are combined with sulphuric acid, like phenol, and are excreted in the urine as indoxyl-sulphuric acid and skatoxyl-sulphuric acid. These pousons have long been

found in the urine and the amount of them occurring in the urine is taken as an index to the extent of putrefaction that is going on in the intestine. That the body may and does establish toleration for these poisons as it does for other poisons that are habitually introduced into it is certain, but it seems the height of fally to assume that the organism has adapted itself under usual conditions to neutralize these products of hacter all activity. Certainly the discomfort that arises from the accumination of gas in the abilition and putrefaction, the first and or pleasant industrial fermentation and putrefaction, the first and or pleasant industrial fermentation and putrefaction, the first and or pleasant industrial fermentation and putrefaction,

That it is possible to have a clean sweet breath, freedom from gas press are and idorless stook is common knowledge. It seems to me that instead of assuming that a common phenomenon is normal, perhaps even necessary, it were wise to consider the causes of this occurrence and determine whether or not it is normal. If it is possible to avoid the unpleasant results of fermentation and putrefaction, if it is possible to avoid the possible t

Anything that reduces digestive power, anything that slows up the processes of digestion anything that temporarily suspends the digestive process will favor bacterial activity. Such things as over eating (eating beyond enzymic capacity), eating when fatigued outing pist before beginning work, eating when chilled or over heated parting when feverish, in pain when there is severe inflammation when not hangry when worned, arxivus, learlid, angry etc. eating under all of these and similar circumstances favors bacterial decomposition of the foods eaten. The use of condiments, vinegar alreadof and other substances that retard digestion favors bacterial activity. If we carefully analyze the eating practices of most civilized people we may easily find a bundred and one reasons why gastro-intestinal fermentation and putrefaction are so nearly universal without assuming that these processes are normal, perhaps necessary. The causes of digestive mefficiency and failure are legion.

One of the most common causes of digestive inefficiency, one that is almost universally practiced in this country, is eating wrong combinations of foods. The almost universal practice of ignoring our enzymic limitations and eating haphazardly is responsible for a large part of the indigestion with which almost everybody sufferament at less or start v. The proof of the last of the fact that feeding correct combinations ends the indigestion. Thus statement should not be misunderstood. Feeding correct combinations will only improve and not end indigestion, if the indigestion is due in part to other causes. If worry, for example, is a prominent factor in cause, worry will have to be discontinued before digestion can be pormal. But it should be known that worry with wrong combinations will give worse indigestion than worry with porrect combinations.

Rex Beach, who once mined gold in Alaska, wrote of gold miners "We are greatly of baking-powder bread, underdone beans and fat pork. No sooner were these victuals down than they went to war on us. The real call of the wild was not the howl of the thicker welf, the manual laughter of the Artic loon, or the muting ery of the bull moose; it was the dyspeptic belch of the miner." Our physiology of the property of the manual angles of the miner, "his abdominal distension and distress, the resulting gastro-intestinal decomposition, foul stools and passing of much foul gas, to be normal. If the miner did not have bell one of Alkaseltzer with which to palliate his distress and encourage further induce teams in eating, he could always run his finger down his throat and induce vorming if his distress became too great Constipation, alternating with durrhea, was common on such a diet

Militous of dollars are spent yearly for drugs which afford a temporary respite from the discomfort and distress that result from decomposition of food in the stomach and intestine. Substances to neutralize acidity, to absorb gas, to relieve poin, even to relieve headache due to gastric irritation, are employed by train loads by the American people. Other substances, such as pepsis, are employed to aid in the digestion of food. Instead of regarding this as a normal condition, Hygienists regard it as an extremely abnormal condition. Ease and comfort, not pain and distress, are marks of health Normal digestion is not accompanied with any signs or symptoms of disease.

How to Take Your Proteins

As all physiologists are agreed that the character of the digestive pace secreted corresponds with the character of the food to be digested and that each food calls for its own specific modification of the digestive juice, it follows as the night the day, that complex mixtures of foods greatly impair the efficiency of digestion. Simple meals will prove to be more easily digested, hence more healthful

Conventional cuting habits violate all of the rules of food combining that have been given in the preceding chapter and, since the najority of people manage to live for at least a few years and to enjoy? their nones in a pains and their frequent "spells of aickness," few of them are willing to give any intelligent consideration to their eating habits. They issually declare when the subject of food combining comes up, that they eat all of the condemned combinations regularly and it does not hurt them. Life and death, health and disease are more motters of accident to them. Unfortunately they are encouraged in this view by their medical advisers.

More than thirty years spent in feeding the well and the sick, the weak and the strong, the old and the young, have demonstrated that a charge theorem by commend means is followed by an induction improvement in health as a consequence of lightening the load the digestive organs have to carry, thus assuring better digestion, improved nutrition and less possoning. I know that such meals are followed by less fermentation and less patrefaction, less gas and discomfort. I do not believe that such experiences are worth much if several of a explained to order principles but I have explained them in preceding pages, so that they do assuroe great importance. The cless of food combining herein given are sounds, outed in proviology, thoroughly tested by experience, and are worthy of more than a passary thought

A great part the yearly massacre of children's tousils grows out of the constant fermentation in their digestive tracts consequent upon their regular cating a flesh-and bread, cereals-and-sugar, cookies-

and fruit, etc. their. Until parents learn how to feed their children with proper respect for enzymor limitations and cease feeding them the so-called "balanced meals" now in vogue their children are going to continue to suffer, not only with colds and tonsillar troubles, but with gastritis (indigestion), diarrhen, constipation, feverishness, the various children's diseases, poliomychius, etc.

Commonly eaten combinations are bread and flesh hot dogs sandwiches, hamburgen ham on tye, and the like bread and eggs bread and cheese, pointoes and flesh potatoes and eggs eggs in a potato salad for example cereals with eggs casually at breakfast) etc. Not is it customary to eat the protein firs and the carbobydrate atterwards. These foods are enter together and thrown into the stomach to the most haphozard and todat remoute man iet. The enstomary way of eating breakfast is to have cereal first customly with most or cream and sugary and then egg or touch by with most or cream and sugary and then egg or touch by most Americans, we should not be surprised that it is so regularly followed by indigestion not that the traffic in Bromo-Scitzer Alkaselizer Bell and Tonis, baking some etc., is carried out on much a large scale

Dishes of Italian origin that the growing very popular in this country are such mixtures as spagnett and near balls spacelett and cheese, spagnett and ravials. The spagnett is commonly served with toriato sauce and white bread. A small chopped salad that accompanies, contains olive oil, vinegar and great quantities of salt Other dressings are often served with the salad. White bread is usually served with this aboustnable mixture. In the smaller places obsoning arise is served. Here or write frequently is taken with such a meal.

The radio hawker tells the poor victims of such unphysiological habits of eating that when he suffers with "acid indigestion," he should resort to some one or other of the popular palliatives—nobody ever buts that such pall ton granatees the corting rice of the evil habits and assures the later development of serious trouble. "Great oaks from little acords grow," runs the old copybook maxim, but repathings this principle is not recognized by these who presime to know.

Insumuch as, physiologically, the first step in the digestion of starch and the first step in the digestion of protein nices prace in

opposite media-starch requiring an alkaline medium, protein reip ng an acid medium-these two types of foods certainly should not be caten at the same meal

It is well known to physiologists that undigested starch absorbs peps of This being the dischool newtone that the eating of staches and proteins at the same meal will retard protein digestion. Tests have shown, it is claimed, that this returdation is not great protein eiges in being re-indea but that to six maintes, which is usig nificant. There is reason to believe that these findings are faulty For, if the only result of such a combination is a four to six minutes ret relation of the agestion is without so which aboughted of dentishould not be found in the stools of those who eat such maximes. I am convinced that the interference with protein digestion is greater than the tests indicate. Those who object to efforts to properly combine our foods tend to focus attention on the protein and, using the results of these tests as the basis of their objection to the rule against inixing proteins and carbohydrataes, they studiously avoid all referto be a speraior of start agestion. If it is with the inti tikhi nesi

Previously we learned that it is unwise to consume more than one kind of protein at a meal. This is true, not merely because it complicates and retards the digestive process, but also, because it reads to over eating of protein. At present the trend is to over-across it would like to enter a warning against this folls at this place and point out that it is a return to the dictary fallacies of half a century ago. Diet fads, indeed, seem to run in circles

So different in character are the specific secretions poured out upon each different food that Pavlov speaks of "milk piles." "bread piles" and "meat fuice." "Two proteins of different character and different composition require different types of different character and these fuices, of different strength and character are poured into the stomach at different times during the digestive process. Khizhin one of Pavlov's co-workers, showed that the secretion response of the digestive glands is not "limited to the powers of the juice but extends to the rate of its flow, and also its total quantity." The character of the food esten determines not only the digestive power of the juice secreted upon it, but also its total acidity—acidity is greatest

with flesh least with bread. There is also a marvelous adjustment of the juice as to timing, the strongest juice being poured out in the first hour with flesh, in the third hour with oread in the last hour of digestion with milk

Due to the fact that each separate kind of food determines a definite hoorly rate of secretion and occasions characteristic limit tools in the various powers of the juices, foods requiring marked differences in the digestive secretions as for example, loved at flesh, certainly should not be consumed at the same meal. Pavlov showed that five times as much pepsin is poured out upon bread as upon milk containing an amount of protein equivalent to that contained in the bread, while the introger of flesh requires none pepsin that in the These different kinds of foods received quantities of susymme corresponding to the differences in their digesticity. Comparing equivalent weights, flesh requires the most and tack the least air and of gastric juice, but comparing equivalents of nitrogen, bread needs the most and flesh the least juice.

All of these facts are very well known to physiologists, but they have never attempted to make any practical application of them. Indeed, when they condescend to discuss them at all in relation to the practical problems of life (of eating), they tend to gloss over them and to provide flows make as why the hapt-yeard eating discretices that are almost everywhere in vogue should be confinued. They are lockined to regard the more immediate evil results of such imprudent eating as normal, as was shown in the previous chapter.

Due to the inhibiting effects of soids, sugars and fats upon digestive secretion, it is unwise to eat such foods with proteins. Suppose we consider these combinations briefly in the order given

The inhibiting effect of fat (butter, cream, oils, oleomargarine, etc.) upon gastric secretion, which retards protein digestion for two hours or more, renders it inadvisable to consume fats with proteins. The presence of fat in fat meats, in fried meats and fried eggs, in milk, nuts and similar foods is the probable reason that these foods respace larger to digest that do lear to six on a siddled at practical eggs. Fat meats and fried meats are particularly likely to give the eater trouble. We should make it a rule therefore not to eat fats of any kind with our protein.

The Inhibiting effect of fat upon gastric secretion may be comtended by consuming a plentiful supply of green vegetables, particularly encoused. I necoked cabbage is pasticularly effective in this respect. For this reason, it were better to consume green vegetables with cheese and puts than to consume acid fruits with them, even though, this latter is not particularly objectionable.

Sugars by inhibiting both gastric secretion and gastric motality (movement of the stomach) interfere with the digestion of proteins. At the same time these food substances, which require no digestion in the mouth and stomach, are held up pending the digestion of the proteins, hence they undergo fermentation. Proteins should not be enten at the same meal with sugars of any kind or character. Dr. Norman's experiments showed that taking cream and sugar after a meal delays the digestion of the meal altogether for several bours.

Acids of all kinds inhibit the secretion of gastric juice. They thus interfers with the digestion of proteins. The exceptions are cheese, note and avocados. These foods, containing, as they do, creum and oil which inhibit the secretion of gastric juice as much and as long as do acids, do not have their digestion appreciably a terfered with when acids are taken with them.

The foods that combine best with protein foods of all kinds are the non-starchy and successont vegetables. Spinach, chard, kale, and greens mustard greens, turnip greens. Chinese cabbage broccoll, cabbage, asparagus, fresh green beans, okra, Brussell sprouts, all fresh termer signash except it inhard signash, omons celecy let tace, cocumbers, radishes, sorrel, water cress, parsley, endive, dande lion, collards, rape, escarole, cardoon, broccoli-de-rappa, bamboo sprouts and similar non-starchy foods

The following vegetables form poor foods to combine with proteins: beets, turnips, prompkins, carrots, salsify (vegetable system or cystem plant), candiflower, kohleabl, rutabagas, beans, peas, Jerusalem a to okes point or sock door the sweet potato. Being somewhat starchy, they make better additions to the starch meal. Beans and pens, being protein-starch combinations in themselves, are better enten as a starch or as a protein, combined with green vegetables, without other protein or starch with the meal. Potatoes are sufficiently starchy to form the starch part of the starch meal.

The following means constitute properly combined protein meals. It is suggested that the protein neal be eaten in the evening. Ands and oils and oily dressings should not be taken with the protein meals. These needs may be eaten in amounts required by the individual.

Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Gleen Squash	C. Haras	S. n.acl
Spinach	Yelsow Squash	Green Squash
Nuts	Avocado	Cuttage Cheese
Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Chard	Mustard Greens	Bect Greens
Asparagus	String Beans	Green Peas
Nuta	Avocado	Cottage Cheese
Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Asparagus	Turny Greens	Yellow Surasi
Yellow Squash	Green Peas	Braccolf
Nuts	Avocado	Cottage Cheese
Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Broccoli	Yellow Signati	Spins 4
Fresh Corn	Cobl. ge	Calibs ge
Nuts	Statilismer Seed	Unprocessed Cheese
Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Okra	Spinach	Buked Eggptast
Spinach	Braccolf	Chard
Nuts	Sunflower Seed	Eggs
Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Chard	Cha. i	Ch
Yellow Squash	Okra	Yellow Squarb
Nuta	Cottage Choose	Eggs
Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Beet Greens	Okia	Turnp Greens
String Beand	Yellow Squash	String Beam
Nuts	Cottage Cheese	Eggs

FOOD COMBINING MADE EAST

Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Chard	Chard	Okta
Yellow Squash	Yellow Squash	Red Cabbage
Lamb Chops	Avocado	Avocado
Vegetable Salad Green Squash Kale Lawssort	Vegetable Salad White Cabbage Spenach	Vegetable Salad Asparagus Cone Artichokes Avec alo
Versa 14 Saras	Veget ble Salad	Veger dde Salid
Beet Greens	Broccon	Yellov Son i in
Okra	Green Beans	Chard
Scaffower Seed	Nuts	Avacada
V getacle Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Safad
K le	Steamed Onions	Baked Egyplant
S g Bears	Swiss Chard	Kale
Surflower Seed	Unprocessed Cheese	Avocado
Vegetable Salud Baked Englant Chard Soy Sprouts	Vegetable Salad Green Squash Turiap Greens Roast Beef	Yellow Squash Mustard Greens Pecons
Ver table Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Asparogus	Red Cobbage	String Beans
Green Beans	Spirach	Okra
Walnuts	Cottage Cheese	Broiled Lamb
Vegeta Je Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Okra	Asparagus	Brassel Sprouts
Beet Greens	Broccoli	Kale
Sunflower Seed	Eggs	Nuts

How to Take Your Starch

CHAPTER VI

One author was. Don't solve of or more we foods rich to sugar or starch at the solve me h. When the serve bread and potatoes, your starch-license has run out. A meal that includes peas, bread, potatoes, sugar, eake and after dinner mints should also a hide a Vitaria B. Unit was poor some breatheast of soil once than that used on the vegetables), and the address of the nearest specialist in arthriffs and other degenerative diseases."

For more than fifty years it has been the rule in Hygiente circles to take but one starch at a 1 - 1 and to consume no sweet freds with the starch meal. Some syrups, honey's cakes, pies, that is the starch meal. Some syrups, honey's cakes, pies, that is the starch meal to be some at the starch meal to be for advice. If you eat these with your starcher take a close start soda with them. We tell them to avoid the fermentation that is almost inevitable. In Hyginate circles it is considered the might at the some person and then take an advidere with it. We that a close that the take the poison.

Sog will stack means fermentation. It means a sour strangels to a codes artist of the code of addicted to the honey-enting proceed of the code of the popular fall by that honey is a first research of the code of the popular fall by that honey is a first switch of the code of the popular fall by that honey as well to as a code of the code of

Fig. 6 as fifty years it has been the practice in Hygienic as set takes a transfer and salad (leaving out tomatoes of other neid foods) with the starch meal. The salad has been a very

large one, measured by ordinary standards, and made up of fresh uncooked vegetables. This solad carries an abundance of vitamins and minerals. The vitamins in those vegetables are the genuine articles and no chemist's imitations of the real thing. No just-as-good substitutes for vitamins have ever satisfied the Hygienists. We take the real article or nothing. Capsule-eating is a commercial program and belongs to the drug fetish.

Vitamins complement each other. We need, not just the vitapin B complex, but all vitamins. A large raw vegetable salad suppies several known vitamins and those that may exist but have not yet been detected. Vitamins not only cooperate with each other in the notative process, but they also cooperate with the innerals in the body. These are supplied by the vegetable salad. To take vitamin preparations that are combined with calcium or from or other minerals will not answer the purpose. These minerals are in non-usable forms. There is no better source of food substances than the part kinguom, the lationatury and the chemist have not yet been able to concoct acceptable foods.

Hygienists advise not one starch at a meal, not because there is any conflict at the regestion of these foods but because taking two or it relatances. We find it pest, and this is doubly true in feeding the sick, to limit the starch intake to one starch at a meal. People with unusual powers of self-control may be permitted two starches, but these individuals are so take the rule should be one starch at a meat.

The same author says: "Whether you eat hamburgers at the Greaty Spoon—or filet mignon at the Plaza, votice cating protein Whether it's griddle cakes at the diner—or crepe sazerte at the Astor bilt—you're eating carbohydrates. And whether fix oleomargerine from a relief agency, or botter bails at the Cafe de Lux—you're a tig fat. These are the big three; the fourth part of food is roughny. All food will predominate in one of these substances or another Some highly refined foods—like sugar—will contain only one of these but—generally speaking, most foods contain all three—which is what makes the Hay Diet somewhat clusive."

It is not true that the fourth part of food is coughage, for roughage is not food, and it is not true that all foods predominate in one or the other of these four "parts of foods." Young, tender, growing plants have very little roughage, their cellulose being practically all digestible. They are valuable largely for their minerals and vitamins. His "hig four" does not take into account the minerals that are in foods, and which are very abundant in many foods, while relatively scarce in others.

One may easily get the idea, from reading the foregoing quotation, that one protein is as good as another, that one fat is as good as another, that any combination of food, such as hardwagers or filet mignon, is as good as any other, and that foods may be prepared in any manner desired. Its author is not actually gifty of I Jung any such views but this statement of his could easily lead his readers to believe that just any old diet is good enough.

The remark that I wish to discuss is that, generally speaking, most foods contain earbohydrates, fats, proteins and roughage and that this makes the probabilion of protein sturch combinations and the hapliazard combinations commonly eaten. The human digestive tract is adapted to the digestion of natural combinations had it is certo aly not a lapted to the digestion of the naphazzard and indiscriminate con lan trans that are entire in civil zed life today Natural combinations offer but little difficulty to the digestive system; but, it is one thing to eat one food, however complex its nature; it is quite another thing to eat two foods of "opposite character." The digestive pieces may be readily adapted to one food, such as cereals, that is a protein-starch combination, they cannot be well adapted to two foods, such as head at these. Tilden frequently said that nature never produced a sandwich.

It should be axiomatic that our digestive system is adapted to the digestion of natural combinations and can handle the unnatural ones only with difficulty. Modern civilized esting habits are so far removed from anything seen anywhere in nature or among so-called primitive peoples that it is impossible to think of them as being normal eating habits.

The prohibition is "somewhat clusive" to him simply because he has not given enough attention to the process of digestion. It is true that Nature puts up such combinations. It is true that these natural combinations offer but little difficulty to digestion. But, and

here is the fact of digestion that all orthodox dietitians miss, the body is capable of so adapting its digestive secretions, both as to strength of acid, concentration of enzymes and timing of secretions, to the digestive requirements of a particular food, while such precise adaptation of juices to foods is not possible when two different hods are eaten. Cannon demonstrated that if starch is well mixed with salava, it will continue to digest in the stomach for as much as two hours. This certainly cannot be true if protons are eaten with the starch for, in this case, the glands of the stomach will delage the food with an acid gustric juice, thus rapidly ending gustric valivary digestion.

He says that the purpose of saliva is to begin the process of digestion of starches. "That is why," he adds, "you should chew bread, cereals, and other starchy foods very thoroughly, that is why you must not drink water through a mouthful of food. Though water at meal time is not condemned—it is needed to help the body in the chemistry of digestion—it must not be permitted to weaken the action of saliva on starches in the mouth."

The digestion of starches begins in the mouth, or should, but they remain in the mouth for such a short time that very little digestion takes place. Salivary digestion of starches can and will continue in the stomach for a long period if they are eaten under proper conditions. Eating acids and proteins with them will inhibit or completely suspend their digestion. Drinking water with the meal will weaken the action of saliva upon starches in the store it is or ich as it will in the mouth and it is not true that you need to drink at a lit in to have water to aid in the digestion of your food. It will be sest to drink your water ten to fifteen minutes before meals. If taken with meals it dilates the digestive juices and then posses out of the stomach in short order curving the digestive juices and their cozenes along with it

The following menus constitute properly combined starch meals. It is suggested that the starch meal be eaten at mon-time. Starches should be eaten dry and should be thoroughly chewed and insalivated a one swift with a crease on the said with the starch meal. We suggest a larger salad in the evening with the profit and a small, are at more with the starch. These menus may be eaten in amounts required by the individual.

Vegetable Salad Turnip Greens Yellow Squash Chestnuts	Vegetable Salad Spinach Red Cabbage Baked Caladium Bouts	Vegetable Salad Beet Greens Okra Brown Bice
Vegetable Salad Spinach String Beans Coconul	Vegetable Salad String Beans Baked Egg Plant Steamed Caladum	Vegetable Salad Turnip Greens Asparagus Brown Rice
Vegetable Salad String Beans Mashed Rutabagga Iruh Potatoes	Boots Vegetable Salad Turnip Greens	Vegetable Salad Collards Fresh Coro Brown Bice
Vegetable Salad Spinach Beets Irish Potatoes	Okra Jerusalem Artichoke Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad Boet Greens Carldlower
Vegetable Salad Chard Carrots	Aule Okra Jerosalem Artichoke	Squash Vegetable Salad
Potatoes Vegetable Salad String Beans Turnips	Vegetable Salad Chard Yellow Squash Jerusalem Artichoke	Kule String Beans Baked Halbard Squash
Vegetable Salad Asparagus White Squash Yams	Vegetable Salad Spinach Turnips Jetusalem Artichoke	Vegetable Salad Green Squaxh Okra Buked Hubbard Squaxh
Vegetable Salad Beet Greens Cauliflower	Vegetable Salad Okra String Beans	Vegetable Salad Turnip Creens Broccoli

Jerusalem Artichoke

Sweet Potatoes

FDOD COMBINING MADE EASY

Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Sulad	Vegetable Salad
Asparagus	Swiss Chard	Chard
Okra	Peas	String Beans
Peanuts	Hubbard Squash	Peanuts
Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Okra	String Beans	Spinach
Beet Greens	Broccoli	Green String Bean
Waole Grain Bread	Hubbard Squash	Brown Rice
Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Yellow Wax Beans	Spinach	Chard
Kale	Cubbinge	Okra
Irish Potatoes	Baked Habbard	Brown Ruce
Vegetable Salad String Beans Vellow Squash Irish Potatoes Vegetable Salad Okra	Squash Vegetable Salad Reet Greens Yellow Squash Irish Potatoes	Vogetable Salad Chard Asparagus Baked Beans
Brussell Sprouts Irish Potatoes Vegetable Salad String Beans Cabbage	Vegetable Salad Kare Okra Brown Rice	Vegetable Salad Swiss Chard Yellow Squash Baked Caladium floots
Sweet Potatoes Vegetable Salad Chard Broccols Yams	Vegetable Salad Spinach String Beans Peanuts	Vegetable Salad Okto Beet Greens Steamed Caladiim Roots
Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad	Vegetable Salad
Spinach	Okra	Yellow Squash
Cabbage	Ca. dłower	Chard
Chestnuts	Carrots	Potatoes

Eating Fruits

CHAPTER VII

William Henry Porter, M.D. says in his book, Eating to Live Long, that eating fruits "is one of the most permicious and reprehensible of dietetic folles." But he admits that fruits eaten without other foods are all right. I doubt not that if he were approached on the subject of food combining, he would declare it to be a permicious fad. Dr. Percy Howe of Harvard noted that people who could not cat oranges with meals were able to cat them alone without trouble. Dr. Dewey, of fasting fame, was strongly opposed to the eating of fruits, declaring that they demoralize digestion. None of these men knew anything of food combining. They merely noted that eating fruits with other foods results in a large amount of trouble, hence, they condemned, not the other foods, but the fruits. Actually, there is no more reason to condemn the fruit than there is to condemn the other food with which the fruit is taken

Man, the archtype of the chetrotheria, should develop those frequences habits which are common to his anatomical structure and from which he has largely depicted in the course of time due, no doubt in large measure to his wanderings since he left his ederle home in the warmer regions. His sense of taste, being the expression of organic demand, must, of course, share in his health or his disease, and the taste which now demands flesh, will give place to a more exquisite appreciation of savors in the great varieties of fruits, vegetables and note in their many, varied and artistic combinations, which appeal as much to the eye and note as to the tongue.

Fruits are among the finest and best of foods. Nothing affords us more good eating pleasure then a rich, mellow apple, a luscious, well-ripened banana, a carefully selected buttery, creamy, smooth avocade or the wholesome heart warming goodness of a sweet grape. Real gustatory happiness is derived from the peach brought to the point of ripe perfection. Fruits, indeed, are a taste-enchanting, treasure trove of delightful eating enjoyment. With their luxury blends of rare flavors, delightful aromas, eye-pleasing colors, fruits are always no invitation to pleasure in eating.

Fruits are more than just a delight to the eye, the nose and the mouth—they are master mixtures of pure, rich, real food elements. Few of them are rich in protein—the avocado and olive being the chief exceptions—but are packed full of mouth-watering sugars, are all star flavor blands of acids, are full of unnerals and vitamins. Together with nutt (which, botanically, are also classed at fruits) and green vegetables, fruits constitute an adequate diet—indeed, these foods constitute deal of the normally frug vorous so not man.

Fruit enting affords us much deep-down pleasure. Mother native has flavored them just righ to afford us the greatest enjoyment in a trug. They are just right to afford aste contentment. There is every eason why we should eat these foods with which mother native so expectagly entires is to cating enjoyment and which she has blied with a small pure right who less the mountainment.

Nothing can afford us more gustatory happiness and real deepdown taste contentment than a meal of luscious fruits. Such a meal is always an invitation to pleasure. A fruit meal will not cause the troubles that flow from eating fruits with other foods. Such a meal will not demoralize digestion. It will do most for you. It is both refreshing and nourishing. The exquisite delight of eating such a actually good neal the wonderful feeling of confort that follows the real genuine satisfaction it affords, for an pass that if cat ag other foods.

And this is the ideal manner in which to ent your fruits. Eat them at a fruit meal. The acids of fruits do not combine well with either starches or proteins; their sugars do not combine with either platens at starches, the cals of the avocade and live do not combine well with protein. Why risk digestive trouble by eating such foods with flesh, eggs, bread, etc.

Fruits undergo little or no digestion in the mouth and stomach and are as a rule quickly sent ato the intestine where they indergo the little agestion they require. To eat them with other foods that do require considerable time in the stomach is to have them held up there are diag the completion of the digestion of the other foods. Bacterial decomposition follows. We have previously considered this set with reference to melons which are also fruits.

Fruits should not be eaten between meals. To est them between meals is to put them into the stomach while the stomach is still busily engaged in digesting the previous meal. Trouble is sure to follow. Our rule, one from which we will do well not to vary, is to cut fruit at a fruit meal

The labit of droking quantities of fruit pieces femon piece, orange jusce, grapefruit funce, grape jusce, tomato hace, papaya fusce between meals is responsible for a large amount of indigestion in those who think they are eating healthfully. This practice, revived I may the last few years, was juste the vogue it objects, revived sixty to eighty years ago, and the digestive and other evils that flowed from it caused many to abandon the reform diet and return to their flesh pots. Let me recount Dr. Robert Walter's experience with the pin direkts, tad as he records it in his Fauct Science of Health

He says that in consequence of the treatments he had undergone in his efforts to recover health (first medical and then hydropathic), be had a "ravenous appetite for food" and as a consequence of the irritation of his stomach he had developed into a "gournand which no amount of food could satisfy." He adds: "My sufferings from thirst were always great, but I did not like water, and having been laught the superior qualities of fruits. I could never get enough of the cooling juices, which fermented in my stomach, creating and polystering the very fever they temporarily reneved, all of which he kept me in a fever of nervous hunger which no suffering in other respects ever equalled."

This experience caused the doctor to renounce vegetarianism and return to meat eating. Eating at all bours of the day (for drinking buces is eating), he developed a neurosis which he mistook for hunger. Trying to satisfy a neurosis by eating is like trying to put out a fire with gasoline. Those who mistake gastric triintion for the ger and who or must be "appeare their "I ager with the use of the cause of the tritation must grow from bad to worse. Turning from vegetarianism saved Dr. Walter, not because vegetarianism is wrong, but because he began to eat but one meal a day and ceased to imbibe fruit juices between meals.

No diet is so good but that it will be spalled by the juice drinking practice and no diet is so bad but that this practice will make it

worse. And this is true, not because the juices are bad, for they are excellent, but because their use in such manner disorganizes digestion.

Many mistakes that are now being made by so-called dietitians could be avoided if they were acquainted with the history of diet reform. All of their "discoveries" were made and fried long ago and some of those that are just now enjoying a heyday of popularity, were found evil and abandoned.

Although green vegetables form the ideal combination with nuts, noid fruits form a fair combination with these foods and may be taken with them. This, of course, has reference to protein nuts and not to the starchy ones — coconuts, chestnuts, account, etc. Sweet fruits and nuts form a particularly objectionable combination, despite the delightful flavor of the mixture.

Avocados, containing more protein than milk, should not be combined with other proteins. Rich in fat, they also inhibit the digestion of oil or proteins. There can be no objection to combining them with said fruits. They are best not eaten with sweet fruits. Nor should they be combined with auts. In many quarters it is contended that the papaya assists in the digestion of proteins and we are strongly used to sail it with proteins for this reason. Such a combination is not wise and, if it is true, as contended, that there is an enzyma in the papaya that will eagest protein at a an a ded reason and to combine it with protein. The employment of "aids to digestion" invariably weakens the potient's power of digestion. If his digestion is impaired, the sensible procedure is to remove the cause or causes of digestive expressible procedure is to remove the cause or causes of digestive expressible procedure is to remove the cause or causes of digestive expressible procedure is to remove the cause or causes of digestive expressible procedure is to remove the cause or causes of digestive expressible procedure is to remove the cause or causes of digestive expressible procedure is to remove the cause or causes.

In feeding fruit meals to the sick I have found it best to feed sweet fruits and the strongly acid fruits at separate meals. Thus, I do not feed dates or figs or bananas with oranges or grapefruit, or pineapples. Sugar honey or other sweets with grapefruit is particularly objectionable. If your grapefruit is bitter or excessively sour, get the naturally superior grapefruit from the lawer flux Grande Valley.

The following menus constitute properly combined fruits and it is suggested that the fruit meal be eaten for breakfast. Do not odd sugar to the fruits. Any fruit in season may be usell. These meals may be eaten in amounts required by the individual.

EATING PROITS

Oranges Crapefroit	Fresh Figs Peaches Apricots	Mangoes Cherries Apricols
Oranges Pineapple Crapefindt Apples	Cherries Apricots Plums	Cherries Penches Necturines
Mangoes Cherries	Bananas Peors Grapes	Bernes with Crean (No augur)
Apricots Papaya Persummons	Bunnas Persimmons Dates	Apples Grapes Dotes Glass of Sour Milk
Apples Grapes Figs	Dates Apples Pears	Bananas Pour Figs Glass of Sour Milk

As a variation, a very tasty meal may be made of a fruit saind and a protein as follows

A large fruit saind composed of
Grapefruit, orange, apple, pincapple, lettuce, celery.
Four ounces of cottage cheese or four ounces of prospers
a greater amount of avocado

In the Spring a tasty salad may be made of the fruits by consepeach, plum, apricot, cherry, nectaring, lettuce, celery

Sweet fruits-bananas, raistns, dates, figs rames, etc. should not be put into the salad when it is intended to have a protein with it

Eating Schedule for a Week

CHAPTER VIII

All the means given in this book are intended merely as guides to the reader to assist him in understanding the principles of food copulating and to enable him to work out his own means. It is my two means than to have a book of means giving three meals a day for every day in the year. The person who understands food com-

The same loods are not always available in all parts of the country. A food that is available in one section of the country at one time of the year may be available in another part of the country at a different time of the year. Find availability varys with season, climate, altitude, soil and market facilities. The man who knows how to combine his meals may make use of the foods that are at hand and work out a meal. The man who depends on a cut and dried book of menus and does not know how to combine his foods may find that the particular foods listed in the menu for today are not available—he is left out on a lamb. What he usually does is take the easy way and est indiscriminately. If you are at the home of a friend or relative, your book of menus can be of no service to you, but if you know how to combine your foods, you may usually pick out compatible combinations from the foods spread before you and est a well-combined meal.

Learn the principles of food combining so that you may properly pet been a read to a trace of work of the workelf. A child may be able to follow a chart, an intelligent adult should learn principles and learn to apply these. Once you have may be a law properly one of the control of the cont

EATING SCHEDULE FOR A WEEK

The following two weekly schedules are designed to demonstrate the proper ways to combine foods at different toasons of the year. The first week's schedule covers foods available in Spring and Summer. The second week's schedule covers foods available in Fall and Winter Use these merely as guides and learn to prepare your own means.

SPRING AND SUMMER MENUS

	SUNDAY	
Water probability	LUNCH Vegetable Salad Chard Yellow Squash Potatoes	Vegetable Salad String Beans Olra Nots
	MONDAY	
Pearly a Pearly a Chanes Apricels	Vegetable Solad Rect Greens Carota Bas a Beaus	DINATE A ogetable Salad Spirach Cablage Cuttage Cheese
	TUESDAY	
Cart los pes	Vegetable Salad Okta Green Squash Jerusalem Artichokes	Vogetable Salad Braccoli Fresh Com Avocado
	WEDNESDAY	
BREAKF AST	113.08	THENER

	" LIMESDAI	
Best-Ast Best-es will Gream (No sugar)	Vegetable Salad Cantiflower Okra Brown Rice	DINNER Vegotable Salad Green Squash Turnip Greens Lamb Chops

FOOD COL	MBINING MAD	EEAST
	THURSDAY	
BREAKTAST Nectorines Apricols El ms	Vegetable Salad Green Cabbage Carrots Sweet Potatoes	Vegetable Salad Beet Greens String Beans Nuts
		DINNER
BREAKFAST Watermelon	Vegetable S lad Baked Eggplunt Chard Whole Wheat Bread	Vegetable Salad Yellow Squash Spmach Eggs
	SATURDAY	
Bana das Cherries Class of Sour Milk	Vegetable Salad Green Beans Okra Irish Potatoes	Vegetable Salad Kale Broccoli Soy Sprouts
FALL	. AND WINTER MEN	US
	SUNDAY	
BREAKFAST	LUNCE	DENDER

Grapes Bananas Dates	Vegetable Saind Chrisese Cabbage Asparagus Baked Caladium Roots	Vegetable Solad Spinach Yellow Squash Baked Boans
	MONDAY	
Persummons Pear Crapes	Vegetable Salad Kale Caultflower Yams	Vegetable Salad Brussel Sproots String Beans Pecans

EATING SCHEBULE FOR A WEEK

	THESTAN	
BREAKFAST Apples Grapes Dried Figs	TUESDAY 1 CNCH Vegetable Salad Turnip Greens Okra Brown Rice	ninvea Vegetable Salad Kale Yellow Squash Avocado
	WEDNESDAY	
Pears Persimmons Banana Glass of Sour Milk	LUNCH Vegetable Salad Broccol String Beans Irith Potato	PANNER Vegetable Salad Okra Spinach Pignolias
	THURSDAY	
hhtakfast Papaya Orange	Vegetable Salad Green Squash Parsnips Whole Grain Bread	DINNER Vegetable Salad Red Cabbage String Beans Sunflower Seed
BREAEFAST Pers outnois Grapes Dates	FRIDAY UNIT Vegetable Salad Carrets Spinach Steamed Caladium Roots	Vegetable Salad Chard Yellow Squash Unprocessed Cheese
BIG CELAST Grapefruit	SATURDAY LUNCH Vegetable Salad Fresh Peas Kale Coconut	Vegetable Solad Spanach Steamed Ontons Lamb Chops
BREAKFAST Honey Dew Melon	SUNDAY L NOT Vegetable Salad String Beans Vegetable Soup Yams	DINNER Vegetable Salad Baked Eggplant kole Eggs

Remedying Indigestion

CHAPTER IX

It is impossible to overestimate the importance of good digestion. Upon the efficiency of the digestive process depends the present of the taw raterials of partition hence upon good digestion according to a very large extent, the well-being of the body. There can be no such thing as good nutrition without good digestion. The best of diets fails to yield up its greatest good when the digestive process fails in the work of preparing it for use by the body.

Poor digestion cannot be depended upon to supply the materials with which to build and maintain good thinks before he tissues will be inadequately nourished, the general health must fail and the constitution deteriorate. It is of great importance to remember that the cornul process of head making depends upon the first step in the reparation of blood making materials in the digestion tract. Could digestion, therefore making materials in the digestion tract. Could digestion, therefore making materials in the digestion tract. Could digestion, therefore ingestion results in general algorithm to the functions of life. Many and go it are the head fits to flow from improved digestion.

Indigestion is the forerunner, not the cause, of many of man's more serious ills. But every impairment of function becomes a secondary source of cause, and the poisoning and starvation that result from indigestion are added causes of suffering. These are superadded to the primary causes of man's suffering. When indigestion is prevented health is preserved when it is remedied health is restored.

A whole train of discomforts or symptoms accompany the progressive in pattment of the first ion of digestion such as gas sens envirances, a sense of discoment running anto pain in the abide near alrepress and unrefreshing hights forced tongue in the mining absence of desire for food, constipation, foul stools, nervousness, etc. This is by no means an exhaustive catalogue of the symptoms that accompany indigestion.

If we reflect for a minute upon the enormous quantities of baking soda (bicarbonate of soda), milk of magnesia, Alica-seltzer, Bromo-selezer, Turns, Bell-Anns, charcoal, and other drugs that are daily consumed by the American people to relieve them of distress arising out of acid fermentation and gas in the digestive tract, all of this growing out of indigestion, we may readily reach the conclusion that, as a people, we are suffering from indigestion. Distress after meals is exceedingly common and nobody terms to know how to do more than give the sufferers a few minutes to a few hours of respite from their distress. It is a sad commentary upon the total contribution of this pature.

Bee les the more employe to temporar le allay distress there are many "aids to digestion" in use. Pepsin is, perhaps, the best known of these. For a time, chewing gum was declared to aid the digestion of food. These "aids to digestion" are all frauds. They do not aid digestion at all. They do not in any way improve or increase the functioning powers of the digestive argains and they do not remove any of the causes of digestive impairment. On the contrary, the continued use of any one of them or all of them, without exception, further impairs the digestive powers.

The use of "digestive aids" and of means to "relieve" distress keeps the attention of the users directed away from the true solution of their problems and prevents them from learning the truth about their health and disease and how they may truly recover the former. That manked has so long relied upon such measures, which have always failed, is a constant source of amazement to me. One expects even fools to learn from repeated experiences.

It is obvious to every intelligent reader of this book that a radically different approach to this subject is required if we are to a subject to the subject is required if we are to a subject to the gas, nothing but added discusse by enriching the manufacturers and distributors of drugs. These make infilious out of substances that only add to the suffering of the part of ded actions of the magnetic fields. Natural Hygien off its the people a real escape from their suffering and their bondage to oncient fallacies.

Good ligestion is normal and when education is present in means that the powers of life have been reduced, usually by the conduct of the fadividual so suffering. After making due allowance for the effects of an unfavorable environment, we must ascribe most

the sofferage of near a law meants as evil the gar galant transbe, and systematic departure from organic laws in the general mode of the Theira of leaft is only to learn other distributed by servance of all the laws of life in their combination.

How much more efficient is the process of digestion when food is taken in a screen and unexaited state of mind, compared with the working of the same process when food is taken in a state of mental age at a transplant of the same process of digestion affected by the conduct of the same person of crime is an interpret to good digestion. No man can digest his food well who does not half masticate it and who holts from his dining table to his business like a greyhound slipped from the leash.

When life is lived at such a pace, as it often is in the larger clies that everything, including eating, is done at breathless speed, when a pass and the larger rushes immediately back to work without any rest whatever of body or mind, and this from day to day and from year to year, so long as the powers of life hold out, the Nemesis of outraged nature takes its toll. No man's capacity for continuing a galley-slave life is limitless, but capacity varies depending upon variations in the constitutional powers of different allowable life are all light at large and large capacity to the exhausting effects of such a life.

Whether through want or redaindancy, through dissipation or over-mertion of any kind, when the human constitution becomes a prince of the try to the angle of the second dispression is an enfeeblement of the powers of digestion.

We have only to consider for a moment the many influences that certainly lesson the hodily vigor of man to realize that everybody in civilized society is more or less enervated. We may divide these influences roughly into situ of commission and situ of omission. Sins of omission may be said to be the offspring of ignorance of the laws of life or of willful neglect, or both. Sins of commission are those where the laws of life are not only wittingly neglected, but where they are positively and of purpose violated in the pursuit of either business or of pleasure. The same enervating influences may, per-

haps, also be divided into those which are forced upon mankind by the necessities and struggles of life (by a socio-economic environment over which he, as an individual, has no control), and those that the property of the poorer classes are matched by those find the poorer classes are matched by those find the poorer of the poorer classes are matched by those find the poorer and from whence arises the drains on the nervous system. However, and from whence arising, whether from unavoidable over-toil of the mental and physical worker, or from the suicidal indulgence of the man of fashion, or from a combination of both these broad factors, the result is the

With the habitual violation of the laws of life, or more narrowly, with the habitual indulgence in enervating activities, the slow suppling of the energies of the constitution results in a progressive enervating of the body—a state of lowered nerve-energy not always and the first of the state of lowered nerve-energy not always sure in its downward progress as the losened avalanche. The result is the prostration of the bodily and mental powers and the degradation of the whole man.

Whenever, through a continual violation of the laws of life, the constitutional powers become enfeebled, not only is the excretory for the second powers become important that the nutrition of the body is lowered commensurate with the degree of constitutional enfeeblement. Indigention follows with its consequent slow starving of the sufferer

In such an enfeebled individual no change of diet can bring about a restoration of health until after all the causes of general enervation have been removed and sufficient test has been secured to enable the body to restore its functioning activities. It should be obvious that if the power to digest and assimilate food is not increased, all attempts to "build-up" the patient by any kind of feeding program will prove abortive and useless. It is even more futile to the upstitute restore been to power by the use of drugs torces astring ents, barks, mineral acids, prepartions of fron, etc.—as these only further impair an already greatly impaired constitution and add to the digestive enfeeblement.

To substitute one source of enervation for another is not a rational procedure. To undertake to rest, while, at the same time, undergoing a whole series of palliating treatments—baths, massages, electric to the conformity with the laws of life you will be forever delivered from the torture of the futile effort to destroy the necessary consequences of your misconduct. Only when we have learned to live within the confines of physiological and hiological law can we transmute into a song of gladness that mean of pain and wail of despair that goes up from the earth today

The intelligent person, viewing the great number of so-called discoulzing that they have one and all grown out of the habitual violations of the laws of life, will recognize at once that the first step in the restoration of health must needs be to make amends at once by that have been so perseveringly violated. The patient, it should be evident, must be brought back to that completely healthful manner of life from which, alone, in its totality, we know that there is prospect of effecting a genuine restoration of health.

Is it possible to imagine a patient being rationally treated after a different manner? Can we conceive of a patient, while adhering steadfastly in his manner of life, to the identical limbits which gave or by surgery? Planely it is impossible, unless, of course, we can our physiology and, along with it, our common sense, to the four winds

oncesses of many and varied kinds, it is plain that he must, above release from all mental and boddy activities and duties that constitute a drain upon his energy resources. This is the sine que none of the next of the constitute of the next of the nex

The physiological importance of repose of the mind to the per-

of which, as previously stated, vital results depend, explains the overalding importance which we have at ached to the principle of nervous repose. Mental rest is best secured by a change of scenes from the har ats of bosoness of pleasure on the gas-laden atmosphere of the towns and cities, with their incessant noise and hubbub, to the delights from a country retreat in some partnessage district aboundable of pleasant and cared scenery with tresh breezes of heald to partness and over heal in more rounding to eight where it may enjoy the quiet repose of nature and bask in her healthful numbine,

These patants discover that in the long run drugs do not suswer the needs of their problem. On the contrary, they find themselves gover gold by verse we be resorting to drugs, and test of larger and larger doses, or to frequent changes of drugs. This progresses lets accuses, of females is doe not length their partial of freels of the drugs, but also to the neglect of the original impairing causes, which the resort to drugs guarantees. It is hopeless to think of curing a disease while the manner of life that is the radical cause of all the trouble is persevered in.

The "two paths" of life are open to all alike. One leads to health, strength, happiness and longer life. It growns us with honor and gives us a richer, fuller, more abundant life. The other leads as surely to disease, weakness, unhappiness and promoture death as the east stone falls back to earth. It crowns us with dishonor and gives us pains and an empty life. Which path will you follow? The hone a very many are else the day there are very life wanted or penalized according to the content of persons and everyone will be assured or penalized according to the content of the content of penalized according to the content of the con

Acc you dis pating or spending time and nones on a "lineare al appetite?" What are your habits? Are they lawful (physiological) and such as you can expect good to flow from? Are you indulging in games of chance or in perverted practices? Are you certain that your mode of living—your mental and physical practices—conform with the laws of life? Keep in mind always that it is the right use of the body and mind that provides for man the best development and highest happiness.

Nor, can we approach the problem before us with any single-factor solition. We are dealing with state of affairs that has grown out of a varied assortement of antecedent factors and it can be

remedied only by duly considering each of these elemental consitive factors. It is not enough to enjoin one energating habit. All must be stopped at once and refrained from thereafter, if true success is

to crown our efforts.

Just as the first step in the restoration of functioning power to the enfeebled organism is the discontinuance of all enfeebling practices, so the second step in the restoration of power to the enervated constitution is rational use of the combined materials and influences that constitute the Hygienic System. After all causes of enfeeblement have been removed, rest, sleep, food of the proper kind, exercise, fresh air, pure water, numbrine and healthful mental and moral influences are essential to the restoration of integrity of structure and efficiency of function.

When once, by Hygienic means, the body has been freed of its load of toxins, its nerve energy has been restored to normal, elimination has been re-established and the digestive and assimilative powers have been restored, there follows a gradual return to health. Until this has been done, the best of diets will not and cannot give the desired results. How many patients have sunk into their graves, in chronic as well as in acute disease, and the strictest regulations of their diets, thus attesting the inefficacy of diet to preserve the sick and restore them to health, when disconnected from the series of appropriate hygienic materials and influences!

Hygienic factors are not of great importance in local treatment, but have their greatest, or sole value in their benefits to the whole organism. Thus, while food is of no value when applied locally, its value, when used by the whole body, is undisputed. Hence, as an indispensable basis of the work of the Hygienist, we must endeavor to secure to the patient the full benefit of all the Hygienic means, in their entire plentitude, for only thus can the patient be given a fair chance of recover. Thus understood the pharse Natural Hygiene acquires a real significance, at once novel, startling, intense and delicious.

It is necessary to emphasize that food alone, important as it is in both health and disease, is not enough to assure either the

preservation or the restoration of health. It is only in its physiological connection with water, exercise, rest, sleep and other elements of the Hygienic System, that its true value becomes manifest. Of these combined means, contributing severally to the remedial processes of the body, and each essential to these processes, it is enough to point out that it would be impossible to assign superior value to any over the rest, the simple fact being that each is indispensable, and that health is restored under the Hygienic System not by one hygienic factor alone, but through the combined remedial use of all of them.

It cannot be too strongly insisted upon, as a scientific fact, that it is the whole of the aforementioned hygienic factors, in their plenary combination and harmonious co-adaptotian to the physiological wants of the living organism, which constitute the material and subtle means employed by the organism in the restoration of health. The natural or Hygienic care of the tick, made up, as it is, of so many concurrent and interdependent factors, cannot be held responsible for the failures that attend the unscientific and wholly one-sided application of some one or two hygienic elements by the ignorant and inexperienced.

Physiological rest-fasting—is of value in all forms of impaired bealth, but in indigestion it is a sure means of providing rest for an overworked digestive system. In fasting practically all of the organs of the body reduce their activities, hence they rest. The exceptions are the organs of elimination (excretion) and these step up their activities; hence, during the fast the body is enabled to free itself of its accumulated load of toxic waste. The combination of mental, physical and physiological rest constitutes an ideal means of promoting elimination.

The fast should not be undertaken at home, where there are distractions, annoyances, and responsibilities and where friends and relatives interpose objections to it. It is best taken in a Hygienic institution under the supervision of an experienced Hygienist. In the Hygienic institution the patient is in a position, both physically and mentally, that makes it compartively easy, not only to fast, but also to break bad habits. Here, too, is the place for him to cultivate and fix new and good habits. Indeed, it will always be best for the patient to remain in the institution until the new habits have

become so much a part of him that he will experience little difficulty in continuing them once he has returned home. This is vitally important to continued progress in health and in preserving health, once this has been regained.

Let in not close our eyes to the abvious fact that health, when lost, can only be re-acquired by a laborious process in which the patient himself must play, by far, the principal role, and must faithfully and manfully carry out that fundamental truth in a systematic routine of healthful practices, till the end is achieved.

The Hygienic Institution

CHAPTER X

Originally it was the practice to call Hygienic institutions Hygenan Homes. At present the tendency is to call them Heatth Schools. They are called Health Schools for two reasons: First, the emphasis is placed on health in these establishments, rather than upon disease. Second, they are actually schools, where the patients are taught the simple natural ways of life that build and maintain health. They are taught to think and act in the language of health. It is the conviction of every true Hygienist that he has not done his full duty to his patients when he has piloted them back to health, his full duty has been done only when he has taught the patients how to remain healthy: The Hygienist is, therefore, a doctor in the true meaning of the term.

As it should be the prime aim of every enlightened man, in caring for the sick, to endeavor to provide for the patient the full benefit of all hygienic means, in their entire plenitude, as the indispensable basis of operations, the site of the Hygienic institution must be chosen because of its general salubrity, above all because of the purity and freshness of the air, the excellence of the water, the abundance of sunshme, and the fertility of the soil (for, upon the soil depends the quality of the foods fed to the patients). Climate, too, is an important consideration. For the invalid, whether chronic or convalencing from an acute disease, there is always the South where the long-locked springs of life respond in free gushes to the melting, possionate aromas and gentle breezes of a warm clime. while they only trickle in the frost-bound climes of the North. In the land of the honeysuckle and the orange blessom, where gentle breezes from the Gulf give mild summers and cool summer nights, where warm winters make sunbathing possible throughout the winter season, the invalid may find renewal of life and increase of vigor.

But location is not all. A Hygienic institution is dedicated, wholly and solely, to purposes of health, and its internal arrangement and management is nicely and minutely adapted to this end.



This requires system in the conduct of its program and it necessitates that the patient shall observe the few simple, healthful rules and practices of the institution.

A great advantage of being in the Hygienic institution is that the Hygienic has his patients almost always under his eyes—he is thus enabled to see that all the measures of hygienic care are attended to by the patients and attendants, in the most thoroughgoing marner. Moreover, being on the spot, he is in a position to discriminate with the utmost nicety the effects upon the patient of every item of care and of making whatever modifications in the plan of care that are required by the individual patient. This proves to be of the utmost benefit to the patient, and of great value to the Hygienist who is thus enabled to study at first hand, in a thoroughly scientific manner, his patients and their care. As his experience grows his services to his patients become more and more valuable.

The institution possesses other distinct advantages to the patient. First, every temptation is removed from out of his path. His friends and relatives are not around him urging him to continue on in his old mode of living. On the contrary, every one about him in the Hygienic institution encourages him in the work of breaking away from his disease inducing mode of living and in cultivating new and healthful habits. He is surrounded by good influences and is under the constant watchful eye of the doctor so that, under the combined influence of these factors, he is enabled to relinquish, in a short time, and with comparative case to himself, a habit against which he would probably have struggled long and, perhaps, in vain while living in his own home with no support except the intermittent suggestions of his own unstable will.

The coffee and tea drinker, the tobacco user, the alcohol addict, the worrier, etc., is placed in a position, both physically and socially, that makes it comparatively easy to break off had habits; habits that are very difficult to relinquish under ordinary circumstances and by the mere force of will. Indeed, the very hygienic regime, itself, often to the great susprise of the patient, makes the abandonment of had habits comparatively easy. With physical and moral influences simultaneously at work, with the strong force of example all around him to assist his flagging will, being continually surrounded by other health-seekers, all of them struggling for the same prize, and more



ALONG THE SAN ANTONIO RIVER

or less earnest in a course of well-doing, he is encouraged and bouyed up in his efforts. Success is certain. No one who has even a small understanding of human nature will undervalue such an influence for good.

To break old habits it is often necessary to dissociate oneself from the associations that have helped in their development and that continue to foster such habits. As a means of breaking up the associations under which faulty mental and physical habits have arisen and which are, to a great degree, responsible for the habits, a change of scenery and associations is often best. To the man of strength and determination, most of the unfavorable elements in his environment become just so many obstacles for him to hurdle. Unfortunately, men of strength and determination are not common.

And there is, in a general way, the great advantage to be derived from the discipline acquired by going through such a program—that of continually sacrificing luxuries and idle tastes and habits of every kind, of overcoming the antipathy, all too common even in health, to do that which, however advantageous to us, costs a determined and sustained effort. In this way it may be said that a period of Hygienic care is a moral no less than a physical gymnastic and it is quite certain that it is next to impossible to carry it out in its entirely except in an establishment dedicated exclusively to the purpose and organized in all respects in accordance with its requisites.

It may be well to speak, in this connection of the agreeableness, in a social sense, of the kind of life prevailing in a Hygienic institution, as a feature of undoubted import. Every one knows, from his own experience, the hygienic value of cheerful and easy society uncramped by the rules of false etiquette. To the involid this is of special value. It lightens and brightens his way, and makes his work of recovery (and work it is) aft lightly on him. It keeps him in good spirits and prevents him from broading over his own ailments. The example of other patients, many of them worse than he is, recovering or recovered is truly of incalculable value to him, as it supplies him with a genuine basis of hope and encouragement. This is a henefit supplied by a Hygienic institution that is almost peculiar to the Hygienic system. If the institution is located in the country, as it should be, the quietness of the surroundings, the inspiring contact with nature, walks in the country, among the flowers and trees,

the cheering songs of birds, and the many other agreeable things of country surroundings afford an advantage that is wholly lacking in the city or town.

A hospital is very far from good, even as regards the insterial treatment administered to the patients. It is much worse in a psychological sense, where everything concurs to aggravate the patient's troubles. Can anything be more desolate for a sick person than to be shut up in a ward of the hospital, with the dying and dead, breathing the foul air, hearing nothing but grouns and complaints, attended by imperious merconaries and treated like a slave? The hospital is for the sick what the alms-house is for the well-born poor; a succor which sinks him into the grave while he still lives.

I have indicated the many and various advantages to the patient of being in a Hygienic institution and I hope I have made these clearly intelligible. I need but add a few words about the efficacy and general applicability of Hygienic measures and processes in the eate of the sick. Hygienic care is not based upon any notion of specifies but rests upon a totally different conception of the nature of disease and the requirements of recovery. Its rationale is based on the broad and distinctly characteristic principle that the living organism posserses within itself, in its original constitution, its own powers and means of restoration; that it is constantly endeavoring to work out its own recovery; that it frequently succeeds in its efforts. without outside aid and that when its powers of self-healing are not sufficient to effect a restoration to health, the aid of the Hygienist must be founded on the primary laws of life as unfolded by blology and physiology. This means that our measures of care of the sick must be the identical means, variously modified, to meet the varying conditions of the sick, that are required for maintaining health. Our reliance, in other words, is on the natural agencies of health. Our cardinal remedial means are air, water, natural foods properly combined, rest (physical, mental, sensory and physiological), warmth, sunshine and healthful mental and moral influences. Together with these natural agencies of health, we must endeavor to find and remove all causes of disease from the life of the patient. These, then, are the tools with which the Hugientst works, and I for one can answer for their efficacy.